

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	HPA Borrower 2016-1 LLC
DOCKET NO.:	17-00386.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	11-04-33-317-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are HPA Borrower 2016-1 LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$10,649
IMPR.:	\$35,884
TOTAL:	\$46,533

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,338 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996. The subject features a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 462 square foot garage. The property is located in Crest Hill, Lockport Township, Will County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted limited information on three assessment comparables.¹ The appellant's comparables are located within 0.07 of a mile from the subject and consist of 1 or 1.5-story frame dwellings

¹ In the grid analysis, the appellant did not disclose the neighborhood code, style, age, dwelling size, features or assessment information for the subject or comparables. The board of review submitted a copy of the appellant's grid analysis with more detailed descriptive information.

built in 1943 or 1949. They range in size from 1,020 to 1,337 square feet of living area. Two comparables are on slab foundations and one features a partial basement. One has central air conditioning. The comparables have garages that range in size from 440 to 819 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,645 to \$30,735 or from \$21.22 to \$23.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment from \$35,884 to \$16,151.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$46,533. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,884 or \$26.82 per square foot of living area.

With respect to the appellant's evidence, the board of review submitted a letter from the township assessor critiquing the appellant's data and comparables and providing the Board with information missing in the appellant's appeal.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The comparables consist of 1-story dwellings of frame exterior construction located in the same neighborhood as the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,228 to 1,344 square feet of living area and were built from 1992 to 2002. The comparables have full, unfinished basements, central air conditioning, and garages that range in size from 330 to 576 square feet of building area. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,002 to \$40,129, or from \$27.69 to \$30.87 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their older ages and/or dissimilar foundations as compared to the subject. The Board gives more weight to the board of review comparables which are similar to the subject in location, design, age, exterior construction, dwelling size and most features. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,002 to \$40,129, or from \$27.69 to \$30.87 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$35,884 or \$26.82 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record on an overall basis and below the range on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment based on inequity is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
	about Stoffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Savah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 21, 2020

Mano Alorios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

HPA Borrower 2016-1 LLC, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Ryan Law LLP 311 South Wacker Drive Mailbox #29 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Will County Board of Review Will County Office Building 302 N. Chicago Street Joliet, IL 60432