



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: AMH 2014-2 Borrower LLC  
DOCKET NO.: 17-00374.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 03-08-128-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are AMH 2014-2 Borrower LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$18,600  
**IMPR.:** \$73,360  
**TOTAL:** \$91,960

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with brick trim and containing 2,652 square feet of living area.<sup>1</sup> The dwelling was constructed in 2000. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 400 square foot garage. The property has a 10,454 square foot site and is located in Algonquin, Dundee Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three assessment comparables, two of which are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property as defined by the township assessor. The

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellant's grid analysis was void of some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

comparables were improved with a part two-story and part one-story condominium and two, part two-story and part one-story single-family dwellings of frame exterior construction with two comparables having brick trim. The dwellings range in size from 2,085 to 3,130 square feet of living area and were constructed in 2000. The comparables feature basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace and garages ranging in size from 399 to 630 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$59,626 to \$90,010 or from \$27.49 to \$28.76 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's building assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$91,960. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,360 or \$27.66 per square foot of living area.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum prepared by the Dundee Township Assessor along with additional data. The assessor contended that the appellant's three equity comparables have building assessments ranging from \$27.49 to \$28.76 per square foot of living area and the subject's building assessment of \$27.66 per square foot of living area is at the low end of the appellant's own comparables range. The assessor asserts that the appellant's comparable #3 is a condominium, therefore not a good comparable.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted an Excel spreadsheet with 17 equity comparables. The comparables were improved with part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction with 14 comparables having brick trim. The dwellings contain either 2,632 or 2,649 square feet of living area and were constructed from 1993 to 1999. Each comparable has a basement, a fireplace and a 400 or 420 square foot garage. The board of review did not disclose the comparables' proximity to the subject or if the comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$67,857 to \$78,059 or from \$25.78 to \$29.47 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted 20 suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 due to its larger dwelling size and #3 due to its dissimilar condominium style when to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2, along with the 17 comparables submitted by the board of review. These comparables are most

similar in dwelling size, design and age when compared to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$67,857 to \$78,059 or from \$25.78 to \$29.47 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,360 or \$27.66 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the evidence demonstrates the subject's improvement assessment is justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 16, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

AMH 2014-2 Borrower LLC, by attorney:  
Michael R. Davies  
Ryan Law LLP  
311 South Wacker Drive  
Mailbox #29  
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review  
Kane County Government Center  
719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl.  
Geneva, IL 60134