

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Earnest Hardin

DOCKET NO.: 16-41485.001-R-1 through 16-41485.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Earnest Hardin, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
16-41485.001-R-1	10-16-306-038-0000	2,337	11,882	\$14,219
16-41485.002-R-1	10-16-306-039-0000	1,258	5,092	\$6,350

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two land parcels improved with a 64-year old, one-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction. The improvement contains 1,025 square feet of living area, a full basement and a two-car garage. The property is sited on 4,960 square feet of land and is located in Niles Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's petition contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables. They are improved with a one-story, single-family dwelling with masonry or frame and masonry exterior

construction. The improvements ranged in size from 1,062 to 1,577 square feet of living area and in assessments from \$12.98 to \$15.53 per square feet of living area.

The appellant's pleadings include copies of the board of review's decision as well as the subject's data printouts from the county assessor's website. The petition correctly reflects a total assessment for the subject of \$20,569, it incorrectly reflects an improvement assessment of \$1,025. The appellant's addendum indicates that the total assessment for PIN -038 is \$14,219 and for PIN -039 is \$6,350, both of which are supported by the board of review's decision and the county assessor's printouts.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" incorrectly disclosing the total assessment for the entire subject property of \$14,219, which is only one parcel's data. In support of this position, the board of review submitted copies of the subject's property characteristic printouts for both of the subject's parcels. These printouts breakdown the land and improvement assessments for each parcel with a 30%/70% improvement proration over the two parcels. In totality, this data reflects a total assessment for the subject of \$20,569 and a total improvement assessment of \$16,974 or \$16.56 per square feet of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. They are improved with a one-story, single-family dwelling of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 49 to 68 years; in size from 1,016 to 1,056 square feet of living area; and in assessment of \$16.77 to \$18.02 per square foot. Each property included a full basement.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Initially, the Board finds that the subject's total assessment is \$20,569 with an improvement assessment of \$16,974 or \$16.56 per square foot of living area, as indicated in the appellant's submission of the board of review's decision and confirmed by the board of review's submission of the subject's property characteristic printouts.

Next, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *the appellant's comparable #4* as well as the board of review's comparables #2 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14.94 to \$17.34 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16.56 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board accorded diminished weight to the remaining properties due to a disparity in location, style, age and/or size. Based on this record, the Board

Docket No: 16-41485.001-R-1 through 16-41485.002-R-1

finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

27	1. Fem
-	Chairman
a Residence of the second of t	Sovet Staffer
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 15, 2020		
	Mauro M. Glorioso		
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	_	

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

Docket No: 16-41485.001-R-1 through 16-41485.002-R-1

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Earnest Hardin, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602