

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Shelly Ng

DOCKET NO.: 16-40919.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-09-418-014-1119

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shelly Ng, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 608 **IMPR.:** \$ 9,892 **TOTAL:** \$10,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a condominium unit situated in a 19-year old, multi-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling containing a total of 296 individually owned condominium units. The property has a 12,238 square foot site and is located in South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on January 21, 2014 for a price of \$105,000. In addition, copies of the sale's settlement statement and the Special Warranty Deed were submitted. In completing the appeal's section IV, the appeal indicated that

the sale was not between related parties; that the sale was at auction; that the sale had been advertised on the open market via a multiple listing service; that the property was sold in settlement of foreclosure; and that the seller's mortgage was not assumed. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price. The appellant then applied an incorrect median level of assessment to arrive at a requested assessment of \$10,437.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$12,613. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$126,130 land included, when using the level of assessment for class 2, residential property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a multi-page document listing each of the 296 units' PINs and assessment data. In addition, the last two pages reflected information on 24 sales within the subject's building. The sales relied on by the board of review occurred from April, 2013 through November, 2015 for unadjusted prices that ranged from \$72,000 to \$200,000. The board of review's analysis commingled the total sales at \$2,954,088 less an adjustment factor of 10% resulting in a deduction of \$295,392 indicating a total adjusted consideration of \$2,658,696. The analysis indicated a full value for all of the condominiums of \$30,781,920 and a full value for the units appealed of \$3,069,192.

There was no written rebuttal submitted by the appellant.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property on January 21,2014 for a price of \$105,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. In support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and Special Warranty Deed. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Rather, the board of review used the subject's 2014 sale in the analysis of the building's value. Further, the Board finds that the subject's purchase price was supported by the board of review's remaining 23 sales that sold from April, 2013 through November, 2015 for unadjusted prices that ranged from \$72,000 through \$200,000.

Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$105,000 as of January 1, 2016. Furthermore, the Board finds the appellant submitted incorrect and

unsupported median level of assessment evidence. Therefore, since market value has been determined, the Board shall apply the level of assessment for class 2, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(2)

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	te	
Chairma	un	
a R	Sobert Stoffen	
Member	Member	
Dan Dikini	Swah Bobber	
Member	Member	
DISSENTING:		
CERTIFICAT	<u>ION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this		

said office.

Date:	July 21, 2020	
	Wa 010.	
	Mauro Illorios	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	_

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Shelly Ng, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602