

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Dan Lam
DOCKET NO.:	16-38045.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	03-16-200-068-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dan Lam, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$10,781
IMPR.:	\$34,219
TOTAL:	\$45,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 24-year-old, two-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,561 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 19,602 square foot site and is located in Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and assessment inequity as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 15, 2013 for a price of \$450,000 or \$175.71 per square foot of living area. In support of the sale, the appellant submitted an affidavit, attesting to the arm's length nature of the subject's 2013 sale, the settlement statement, and four suggested sales comparables. In addition, the appellant submitted information on eight suggested equity

comparables. Those comparables range: in age from 19 to 21-years-old, in size from 2,502 to 2,902 square feet of living area, and improvement assessment from \$15.14 to \$16.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$47,839. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$37,058 or \$14.47 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$478,390 or \$186.80 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three suggested equity comparables. Those comparables range: in age from 40 to 51-years-old, in size from 2,518 to 2,647 per square foot of living area, and in improvement assessment from \$11.15 to \$12.69 per square foot of living area. In addition, the board of review's comparables grid reflects the subject's 2013 sale for \$450,000.

In written rebuttal, appellant's attorney argued the board of review failed to address appellant's market value argument.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in May, 2013 for a price of \$450,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale of the subject. The Board finds that the board of review failed to dispute the arm's length nature of the subject's 2016 sale. The Board also finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$450,000 as of January 1, 2016. Since market value has been determined the 2016 level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2). After a reduction in the assessment, the Board finds the subject property to be equitably assessed.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
22. Fer	ChR-
Member	Member
sover Soffer	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 16, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Dan Lam, by attorney: Stephanie Park Park & Longstreet, P.C. 2775 Algonquin Road Suite 270 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602