

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jack Wang

DOCKET NO.: 16-36138.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-28-208-092-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jack Wang, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,502 IMPR.: \$33,546 TOTAL: \$36,048

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story townhome of frame exterior construction with 1,840 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 8 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 715 square foot site and is located in Chicago, South Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with three-story townhomes of masonry exterior construction containing either 2,016 or 2,094 square feet of building area. The comparables are each 12 years old. Each comparable has a concrete slab

foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,127 to \$33,022 or from \$15.44 to \$15.78 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$28,759 or \$15.63 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$36,048. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,546 or \$18.23 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code, in the block and on the same street as the subject property. The comparables are improved with three-story townhomes of frame exterior construction containing either 1,548 or 1,840 square feet of living area. The comparables are each 8 years old. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$31,145 and \$33,546 or either \$20.12 and \$18.23 per square foot of building area, respectively. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave most weight to the board of review's comparables as each of these comparables is identical to the subject property in location being on the same street as the subject and identical in design, exterior construction, age, foundation and features; three of these comparables are identical in dwelling size. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments of \$31,145 and \$33,546 or either \$20.12 and \$18.23 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$33,546 or \$18.23 per square foot of building area is equal to that of the comparables with identical features in this record. Therefore, the subject's assessment is supported. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their masonry exterior construction when compared to the subject's frame exterior construction and older ages along with larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chair	man
21. Fer	a de R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICA	TION
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board a hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and co	*

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date: January 21, 2020

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Jack Wang, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602