

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Carmelthia Otis
DOCKET NO.: 16-30652.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 32-13-204-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carmelthia Otis, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,487 **IMPR.:** \$17,512 **TOTAL:** \$21,999

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 13 years old and has 3,243 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has a 14,959 square-foot site and is located in Lynwood, Bloom Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's improvement assessment is at issue in this appeal; the land assessment is not being contested. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry or frame

construction. The dwellings are from six to fifty-three years old and contain from 2,517 to 3,627 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement and central air conditioning, and two comparables have fireplaces. Information regarding garages was not provided on the appellant's grid analysis; however, the appellant's photographic evidence disclosed that at least one of the comparables has a garage. The appellant also submitted a map which revealed the location of two comparables but not the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,545 to \$18,241 or from \$1.41 to \$5.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$7,556 or \$2.33 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$21,999 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$17,512 or \$5.40 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The four comparables were described as being located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are either 13 or 16 years old and contain 3,210 or 3,243 square feet of living area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace, a three-car garage and a full basement, with one comparable having finished area. The board of review's comparable properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,056 to \$20,951 or from \$5.94 to \$6.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties presented assessment data on a total of eight suggested comparables. The appellant's comparables received less weight in the Board's analysis due to significant differences in age and living area. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds these comparables were described as being located in the same block as the subject and were also very similar in story height, exterior construction, age, living area and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19,056 to \$20,951 or from \$5.94 to \$6.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$17,512 or \$5.40 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant was not able to demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DISSENTING.	
<u>C E R T I</u>	FICATION
	l Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do ll and complete Final Administrative Decision of the

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mauro Illorias

May 21, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Carmelthia Otis, by attorney: John S. Xydakis Law Offices of John S. Xydakis 30 North Michigan Avenue Suite 402 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602