

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Alvaro Calva
DOCKET NO.: 16-27247.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 19-33-404-112-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alvaro Calva, the appellant(s), by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,671 **IMPR.:** \$33,329 **TOTAL:** \$42,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 5,374 square feet of living area. The dwelling is seven years old. The property has a 13,874 square foot site and is located in Stickney Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a copy of the sale contract and the settlement statement confirming the sale of the subject in March 21, 2013 for \$420,000 or \$78.15 per square foot of living area. In addition, the appellant's attorney submitted a brief.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$67,874. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of

\$678,740 or \$126.30 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 10 % level of assessment for Cook County as determined by the Cook County Classification Code.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted four equity comparables and sales data for each comparable. None of the comparables submitted were located in the subject's neighborhood. In addition, the board of review submitted a brief stating that the subject's sale was not at fair market value due to the deed trail showing that a *lis pendens* lien was placed on the subject and that the subject was granted/conveyed to JP Morgan Chase Bank ON August 31, 2009. In support, the board of review submitted copies of the deed trail.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds that the sale of the subject in March 21, 2013 for \$420,000, or \$78.15 per square foot of living area, was a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. The Board finds that the sale of the subject in March 2013 is a compulsory sale, in the form of a foreclosure, based on the board of review's evidence.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Board of Educ. of Meridian Community Unit School Dist. No. 223 v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 961 N.E.2d 794, 802, 356 Ill.Dec. 405, 413 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211, 387 N.E.2d 351 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, the Illinois General Assembly recently provided very clear guidance for the Board with regards to compulsory sales. Section 16-183 of the Illinois Property Tax Code states as follows:

The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

35 ILCS 200/16-183. Therefore, the Board is statutorily required to consider the compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the parties to revise and/or correct the subject's assessment. In this appeal, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales but none of the submitted properties were located in the same neighborhood as the subject. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$678,740 or \$126.30 per square foot of living area, land included, which is above the market value \$420,000, or \$78.15 per square foot of living area, established by the subject's sale. Therefore, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in March 2013 for \$420,000 was at or above the subject's fair cash value. The Board was not able to accord any weight to the board of review comparables.

Therefore, the Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in March 2013 for a price of \$420,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the sale contract and the settlement statement. The Board finds the board of review did not present any relevant evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction. Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$420,000 as of January 1, 2016. Since market value has been determined the 2016 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Far	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERT</u>	IFICATION
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tay Annea	l Roard and the keeper of the Records thereof I do

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 20, 2019
	Maus Illorias
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Alvaro Calva, by attorney: Ciarra Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602