

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Vladislav Tsvetkov
DOCKET NO.:	16-25453.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	02-27-414-047-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vladislav Tsvetkov, the appellant, by attorney Glenn S. Guttman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$ 1,519
IMPR.:	\$22,981
TOTAL:	\$24,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 41-year-old, one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,162 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,675 square foot site and is located in Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and assessment inequity. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 3, 2013 for a price of \$245,000. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. In addition, the appellant argued the subject's assessment's increase of 59% over the prior triennial is excessive. Based on

this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to 10% of the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$34,816. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$33,297 or \$15.40 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$348,160 when using the 2016 level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight suggested equity comparables with sales data on six of those properties.

In written rebuttal, appellant's attorney distinguished the board of review's comparables from the subject based on distance. Appellant's attorney also argued that the sale of the subject in 2013 is the best evidence of market value in the record.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As to the appellant's percentage increase argument, the Board finds that the appellant's argument that the subject's assessment increased by a large percentage for the reassessment does not support his contention of unequal treatment. The cornerstone of uniformity in assessment is the fair market value of the property. <u>Kankakee County Board of Review v. The Property Tax</u> <u>Appeal Board, 544 N.E.2<sup>nd</sup> 762 (1989)</u>. Unequal treatment in the assessment process is demonstrated when properties of similar market values are assessed at substantially different levels. The mere contention that assessments among neighboring properties changed from one year to the next at different rates does not demonstrate that the properties are assessed at substantially different level of fair market value. Therefore, the Board gives no weight to this argument.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$12.13 to \$16.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.40 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in October, 2013 for a price of \$245,000. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$245,000 as of January 1, 2016. Since market value has been determined the 2016 level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer

	Chairman
CLR	hover Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikinia	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 16, 2020

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Vladislav Tsvetkov, by attorney: Glenn S. Guttman Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman 100 North LaSalle Street 23rd Floor Chicago, IL 60602

## COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602