

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ankur Mittal
DOCKET NO.: 16-24705.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-23-310-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ankur Mittal, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,675 **IMPR.:** \$55,110 **TOTAL:** \$58,785

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,556 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 12 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 3-car garage. The property has an 11,310 square foot site and is located in Palatine, Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and contention of law as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 9 to 50 years old. The comparables have partial or full

basements, one of which has finished area. All have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and 2-car to 3.5-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 3,504 to 3,568 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,691 to \$49,916 or from \$13.28 to \$13.99 per square foot of living area.

The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief requesting "the 2016 assessment on the subject be revised to reflect a building assessed value of \$13.79 per square foot (median of the comparables cited) which indicates a revised assessment of \$52,712." Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's 2016 improvement assessment be reduced to \$49,037 or \$13.79 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$58,785. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$55,110 or \$15.50 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The homes were described as being located .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 4 to 13 years old. The comparables have full basements, three of which have finished areas. All have central air conditioning, one fireplace and 3-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 3,485 to 3,683 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$55,308 to \$61,283 or from \$15.83 to \$17.04 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of nine suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to their older ages when compared to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #3 through #5 and the board of review comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and most features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$48,867 to \$61,283 or from \$13.79 to \$17.04 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$55,110 or \$15.50 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables contained in this record.

The Board finds the appellant's contention of law argument was not supported with legal citation and was more in the nature of an argument as to how to consider the equity data through

application of the median of the comparables presented. As set forth above, the Board has weighed the equity data and gives little weight to counsel's median data argument.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fer-	al R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

September 17, 2019

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Ankur Mittal, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602