

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Sheila Pizer
DOCKET NO.:	16-23711.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	05-20-308-058-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sheila Pizer, the appellant, by attorney Chris D. Sarris, of Steven B. Pearlman & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$18,500
IMPR.:	\$70,894
TOTAL:	\$89,394

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is approximately 55 years old and has 3,630 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 14,800 square-foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are from 50 to 57 years old and contain from 3,363 to 3,476 square feet of living area. Two comparables have full or partial

unfinished basements, and another comparable has a partial finished basement. Each comparable has central air conditioning and a garage, either two-car or three-car. Two comparables have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$48,091 to \$67,633 or from \$14.30 to \$19.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$63,743 or \$17.56 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$89,394 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$70,894 or \$19.53 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on two comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame construction. Comparable #1 is 55 years old and contains 3,364 square feet of living area. Comparable #1's features include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. Comparable #2 is 54 years old and contains 3,215 square feet of living area. Comparable #2's features include a partial unfinished basement, a fireplace and a two-car garage. Comparable # have improvement assessments of \$72,126 and \$68,851 or \$21.44 and \$21.42 per square foot of living area, respectively. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of five suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 and board of review comparable #2 have unfinished basements that were dissimilar from the subject's partial finished basement. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1. The Board finds these comparables have partial finished basements like the subject and are also similar to the subject in location, two-story design, age, living area and central air conditioning. The appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #1 have improvement assessments of \$48,091 and \$72,126 or \$14.30 and \$21.44 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$70,894 or \$19.53 per square foot of living area falls between the improvement assessments of the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
22. Fer	C R
Member	Member
Ast Staffer	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 16, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sheila Pizer, by attorney: Chris D. Sarris Steven B. Pearlman & Associates 350 West Hubbard Street Suite 630 Chicago, IL 60654

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602