

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jacob Kiferbaum DOCKET NO.: 16-23460.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-08-101-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jacob Kiferbaum, the appellant, by attorney Richard D. Worsek, of Worsek & Vihon in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$129,518 IMPR.: \$466,982 TOTAL: \$596,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 16 years old and has 7,951 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 4-car garage. The property has a 29,436 square-foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 10 equity comparables that have the same classification code as the subject. Only five comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The appellant did not report the comparables' proximity to the subject property. The comparables are improved with two or three-story dwellings of masonry, frame and masonry or stucco construction. The dwellings are from 10 to 25 years old and contain from 7,539 to

9,357 square feet of living area. Nine comparables have full or partial finished basements, and one has a full unfinished basement. The comparables have central air conditioning, from one to seven fireplaces, and garages that range from 3-car to 4-car. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$225,662 to \$466,634 or from \$29.39 to \$60.00 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$367,000 or \$46.16 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$596,500 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$466,982 or \$58.73 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. One of the comparables is located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one, three-story and two, two-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction. The dwellings are from 10 to 19 years old and contain from 6,065 to 7,019 square feet of living area. The comparables have full or partial finished basements, central air conditioning, from two to four fireplaces, and garages, either 3-car or 4-car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$299,969 to \$501,506 or from \$49.46 to \$71.46 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of 13 suggested comparables. The Board finds six of the appellant's comparables (#2 through #4, #6, #8 and #10) were not located in the same neighborhood as the subject property and comparables #1, #5 and #10 were not as new as the subject. As a result, the appellant's comparables #1 through #6, #8 and #10 received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. Board of review comparables #2 and #3 have significantly less living area than the subject and also received reduced weight. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #7 and #9 and board of review comparable #1. The Board finds these comparables are located in the same neighborhood as the subject and are also very similar in age and living area. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$452,340 to \$501,506 or from \$54.75 to \$71.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$466,982 or \$58.73 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the	

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date: July 16, 2019

Maus Illorias

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Jacob Kiferbaum, by attorney: Richard D. Worsek Worsek & Vihon 180 North LaSalle Street Suite 3010 Chicago, IL 60601

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602