

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Mary Weinberger DOCKET NO.: 16-23417.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-20-413-077-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mary Weinberger, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,396 **IMPR.:** \$61,113 **TOTAL:** \$77,509

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story, mixed-use building of masonry construction. The building is approximately 122 years old and has 3,816 square feet of building area. Features of the building include four apartment units, a commercial unit and a partial unfinished basement. The property has a 2,928 square-foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two or three-story buildings of frame or masonry construction. The buildings are from 109 to 127 years old and contain from 3,675 to 3,968 square feet of building area. The comparables have from

three to five apartment units, a commercial unit and a partial unfinished basement. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$40,287 to \$58,270 or from \$10.39 to \$15.16 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$52,966 or \$13.88 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$77,509 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$61,113 or \$16.01 per square foot of building area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two or three-story buildings of masonry construction. The buildings are from 111 to 134 years old and contain from 3,000 to 3,900 square feet of building area. The number of apartment units was not disclosed; however, the board of review's photographic evidence indicates that each comparable has at least one commercial unit. One comparable has a full finished basement, and three comparables have full or partial unfinished basements. Comparable #4 has central air conditioning, comparable #3 has a fireplace; and comparable #1 has a two-car garage. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$62,460 to \$67,547 or from \$16.02 to \$21.25 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 and board of review comparable #4 are two-story buildings that differ from the subject's three-story design. As a result, these three comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #5 and board of review comparables #1 through #3. The Board finds these comparables are three-story, mixed-use buildings like the subject and are also generally similar in location, masonry construction, age, building area and foundation. These six comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$53,666 to \$67,547 or from \$13.80 to \$19.03 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$61,113 or \$16.01 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DISSENTING.	
<u>C E R T I</u>	FICATION
	l Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do ll and complete Final Administrative Decision of the

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mauro Illorias

July 16, 2019

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Mary Weinberger, by attorney: Noah J. Schmidt Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602