

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew Wakeright DOCKET NO.: 16-23359.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-28-408-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew Wakeright, the appellant, by Christopher G. Walsh, Jr., Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,800 IMPR.: \$97,057 TOTAL: \$110,857

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 75 years old and has 2,734 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a one-car garage. The property has a 6,900 square-foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction. The dwellings are from 70 to 77 years old and contain from 2,565 to 3,015 square feet of living area. Three comparables have full basements,

with one having finished area. One comparable does not have a basement. The comparables have central air conditioning, two fireplaces and garages that range from one-car to two-car. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$72,802 to \$97,807 or from \$27.97 to \$32.44 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$81,227 or \$29.71 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$110,857 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$97,057 or \$35.50 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are from 76 to 103 years old and contain from 2,671 to 2,795 square feet of living area. Two comparables have full or partial finished basements, and the other comparables have full or partial unfinished basements. Three comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces and a garage, either two-car or two and one-half car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$98,380 to \$107,699 or from \$35.89 to \$39.65 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of eight suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparable #3 was dissimilar from the subject in not having a basement and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. Board of review comparables #2 and #3 also received reduced weight, because they are much older than the subject and comparable #2 does not have central air conditioning like the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 and board of review comparables #1 and #4. The Board finds these comparables are very similar to the subject in location, two-story design, age, living area, foundation and central air conditioning. These five comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$72,802 to \$107,699 or from \$27.97 to \$39.65 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$97,057 or \$35.50 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Maus Illorias

July 16, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Matthew Wakeright, by attorney: Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. Attorney at Law 111 West Washington Street Suite 1150 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602