

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Luyen Nguyen
DOCKET NO.: 16-22921.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-05-111-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Luyen Nguyen, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,560 **IMPR.:** \$33,942 **TOTAL:** \$47,502

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is 102 years old and has 2,552 square feet of living area. Features of the dwelling include a full finished basement, two full bathrooms and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,390 square-foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story, multi-family dwellings of stucco, frame or masonry construction. The dwellings are from 107 to 125 years old and contain from 2,334 to 2,874 square feet of living area. Each

comparable has a basement. Information regarding garages was not provided on the appellant's grid analysis. The appellant also submitted a map which revealed that one of the comparables was located within a half-mile of the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$16,993 to \$21,634 or from \$6.06 to \$8.06 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$19,472 or \$7.63 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$47,502 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,942 or \$13.30 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties. The comparables have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject and were described as being located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story, multi-family dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings are from 103 to 107 years old and contain from 2,424 to 2,588 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$36,539 to \$38,956 or from \$14.43 to \$15.13 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of eight suggested comparables. The Board finds the comparables were multi-family dwellings that were generally similar to the subject in many characteristics. However, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds these comparables were located on the same block as the subject and were very similar to the subject in story height, masonry construction, age, living area and features like a full basement and a two-car garage. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$36,539 to \$38,956 or from \$14.43 to \$15.13 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$33,942 or \$13.30 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Member
ason Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full a	oard and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do not complete Final Administrative Decision of the te in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Maus Illorias

June 18, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Luyen Nguyen, by attorney: John S. Xydakis Law Offices of John S. Xydakis 30 North Michigan Avenue Suite 402 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602