



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Larry Asimow  
DOCKET NO.: 16-22918.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-07-307-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Larry Asimow, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$9,630  
**IMPR.:** \$21,623  
**TOTAL:** \$31,253

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1½-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is 113 years old and has 1,071 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 1-car garage. The property has a 2,675 square-foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment is not being contested in this appeal. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis with information on four equity comparables and a spreadsheet with limited information on three additional equity comparables. On the grid analysis, four comparables are described as one 1-story and three 1½-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction that range in age from 64 to 137 years old. Three comparables had no

detailed description provided other than classification and neighborhood codes, size, and improvement assessment. The seven comparable dwellings range in size from 1,053 to 1,761 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,492 to \$28,426 or from \$11.89 to \$16.71 per square foot of living area. The appellant also submitted a map which revealed that one of the seven comparables was located near the subject property. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$15,412 or \$14.39 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$31,253 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,623 or \$20.19 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Two comparables were described as being located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1½-story dwellings of frame construction. The dwellings are from 113 to 115 years old and contain from 1,184 to 1,227 square feet of living area. The comparables have full basements, with two having finished area; one comparable has central air conditioning; and three comparables have garages, either 1½-car or 2-car. The board of review's comparable properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,824 to \$33,048 or from \$22.58 to \$26.93 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of eleven suggested comparables. The appellant's comparables #5 through #7 received less weight in the Board's analysis, because the appellant did not provide enough descriptive information to indicate whether they were similar to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #2 through #4 were not located near the subject and had significantly more living area than the subject and comparable #2 was considerably newer than the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1 and the comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds these comparables were located close to the subject property and were also very similar in their 1½-story design, frame construction, age, living area and foundation. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$13,492 to \$33,048 or from \$11.89 to \$26.93 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21,623 or \$20.19 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing

evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 21, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Larry Asimow, by attorney:  
John S. Xydakis  
Law Offices of John S. Xydakis  
30 North Michigan Avenue  
Suite 402  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602