

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Alan Simon

DOCKET NO.: 16-22631.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-20-121-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Alan Simon, the appellant, by attorney Steven Kandelman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,224 **IMPR.:** \$48,233 **TOTAL:** \$64,457

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,390 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 66 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The property has a 10,140 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings that range in age from 65 to 106 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning and 1.5-car or 2-car

garages. Four of the comparables have one or two fireplaces. The dwellings range in size from 1,928 to 2,115 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,276 to \$60,489 or from \$17.16 to \$30.82 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the improvement assessment be reduced to \$36,362 or \$26.16 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$64,457. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$48,233 or \$34.70 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story dwellings that range in age from 93 to 102 years old. The comparables have full unfinished basements, one fireplace and 1.5-car or 2-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 1,496 to 1,870 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$54,601 to \$64,455 or from \$34.47 to \$36.50 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds neither party's comparables are particularly similar to the subject in all aspects. The appellant's comparables have larger dwelling sizes which would require downward adjustments. The board of review comparables have older ages which would also require downward adjustments. However, the Board shall make a determination of the subject's correct assessment, regardless of the quality of the evidence. The Board finds both parties submitted comparables that have improvement assessments ranging from \$34,276 to \$64,455 or from \$17.16 to \$36.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$48,233 or \$34.70 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to these comparables for differences to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

July 16, 2019

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Date:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Alan Simon, by attorney: Steven Kandelman Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman 100 North LaSalle Street Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602