

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Valerie Wisnoski DOCKET NO.: 16-22495.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 28-30-413-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Valerie Wisnoski, the appellant(s), by attorney John W. Zapala, of the Law Offices of John Zapala, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,652 IMPR.: \$15,058 TOTAL: \$17,710

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is a 63 year-old, multi-level dwelling of frame construction containing 1,585 square feet of living area. Features of the subject include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning and a two-and-one-half car garage. The property has an 8,160 square foot site in Tinley Park, Bremen Township, Cook County. It is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparable properties that ranged from 1,368 to 2,153 square feet of living area, or from \$4.52 to \$9.39 per square foot. The appellant raised two overvaluation arguments:

sales market comparison and recent sale. In support of the sales market comparison overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested comparable sales that sold from February 2014 through June 2016. These properties ranged from 934 to 1,958 square feet of living area including land, or from \$66.39 to \$154.71 square feet. In support of the recent sale overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased from Deutsche Bank National Trust Company ("Deutsche Bank") on February 28, 2013 for \$115,000. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$72.56 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant also submitted a Multiple Listing Service ("MLS") listing information sheet disclosing the subject was sold as "REO/Lender Owned, Pre-Foreclosure" property in an "as is" condition. The appellant provided information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not transferred between related parties; was sold by the owner; and was sold in settlement of a preforeclosure. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when applying the 2016 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$17,710. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$15,058, or \$9.50 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$177,100, or \$111.74 per square foot of living area including land, when applying the 2016 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight suggested equity comparable properties and on four suggested sale comparable properties. The equity comparables ranged from 1,216 to 1,700 square foot of living area. The sale comparbles sold from October 2014 through October 2016 for prices ranging from \$123.53 to \$150.71 square foot of living area including land.

The board of review submitted a brief in which it argued the subject's sale was not at arm's-length for fair cash value and that, therefore, it was compulsory. The board of review appended a deed trail to the brief that disclosed: a *lis pendens* was recorded in 2009; a Judicial Sales Deed granting title to Deutsche Bank was recorded in 2012; and a Special Warranty Deed granting title from Deutsche Bank to the appellant in 2013.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable(s) #1 and #3, and the board of review's comparable(s) #2, #4, #5 and #6. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$4.52 to \$12.43 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$9.50 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment inequity is not justified.

In addressing the appellant's recent sale overvaluation argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in February 2013 for \$115,000 is a "compulsory sale." The evidence disclosed that the subject was sold as "REO" property. REO is an abbreviation for "real estate owned." Black's Law Dictionary, "REO" (10<sup>th</sup> ed. 2014). Real Estate Owned is defined as "Property acquired by a lender, usu. through foreclosure, in satisfaction of a debt. - Abbr. REO." Black's Law Dictionary, "real estate owned" (10<sup>th</sup> ed. 2014). A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

#### 35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

The Board may consider market value evidence, such as sales of comparable properties submitted by the parties, to determine whether the subject was sold at fair cash value. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4); *See* Calumet Transfer LLC v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 401 Ill.App.3d 652 (1st Dist. 2010).

When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction

costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sale(s) #3, and the board of review comparable sale(s) #1 and #2. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$119.67 to \$150.71 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$111.74 per square foot of living area including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. In determining the fair cash value of the subject property and all relevant factors, the Board finds that the appellant did not submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has not met its burden by a preponderance of the evidence and that the subject does not warrant a reduction based upon the market data submitted into evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairma	<u> </u>
21. Fe-	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTIFICATION</u> As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	July 16, 2019
	Mairo Illorios

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** 

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Valerie Wisnoski, by attorney: John W. Zapala Law Offices of John Zapala, P.C. 22 West Washington Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602