

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Seed Rentals XIV, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 16-22415.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 28-26-308-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Seed Rentals XIV, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,768 IMPR.: \$1,732 TOTAL: \$3,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,034 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 43 years old. Features include a concrete slab foundation and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,073-square foot site and is located in Hazel Crest, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 1-story dwellings that range in age from 40 to 52 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, three of which have finished area, and from 1-car to 2.5-car garages. Three of the comparables have central air conditioning. The dwellings range in size from 1,008

to 1,120 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$3,213 to \$3,920 or from \$3.15 to \$3.55 per square foot of living area.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant disclosed the subject was purchased on February 26, 2016 for a price of \$35,000 as set forth in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$3,500 to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$6,979. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$69,790 or \$67.50 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$5,211 or \$5.04 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 1-story dwellings that range in age from 41 to 44 years old. The comparables have concrete slab foundations and 2-car or 2.5-car garages. Two of the comparables have central air conditioning. The dwellings each contain 1,034 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,552 to \$6,676 or from \$6.34 to \$6.46 per square foot of living area. Comparable #2 sold September 2016 for a price of \$68,000 or \$65.76 per square foot of living area including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction to the subject's assessment.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in February 26, 2016 for a price of \$35,000 or for \$33.85 per square foot of living area, land included. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had many of the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the seller was Renita Eddings-Webb; the parties to the transaction were not related; and the property was sold using a realtor. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement, which disclosed that commissions totaling \$1,500 were paid to two realty firms. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction and was not able to overcome the subject property's arm's length purchase. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of

market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price is justified on this basis.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board consideration. After considering the subject's assessment reduction granted based on the appellant's overvaluation claim, the Board finds no further reduction is warranted on this basis.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Sobot Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 16, 2019	
	21 000	
	Maus Illorios	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Seed Rentals XIV, LLC, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602