

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Scott Patterson
DOCKET NO.: 16-22391.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-101-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Scott Patterson, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael Elliott, of Elliott & Associates, P.C. in Des Plaines; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$77,901 **IMPR.:** \$105,208 **TOTAL:** \$183,109

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property contains two residential improvements situated on one parcel. Improvement #1 is a 60 year-old, one and one-half-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,968 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is a 60 year-old, one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 671 square feet of living area. The property has a 55,644 square foot site located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. It is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In his brief, the appellant stated that he appealed the equity assessment for only Improvement #1. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information on four suggested

equity comparables for Improvement #1. The appellant did not submit information on Improvement #2. The appellant requested an Improvement #1 assessment reduction to \$80,312, with a total assessment reduction of the entire subject to \$179,421.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$198,557. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$99,448, or \$25.06 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2 has an improvement assessment of \$21,208, or \$31.61 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information on four suggested equity comparables for Improvement #1, and four suggested equity comparables for Improvement #2.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the comparable properties submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given diminished weight because they were dissimilar to the subject in various key property characteristics. The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of Improvement #1 assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable(s) #1, #2, #3 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$19.46 to \$21.38 per square foot of living area. The assessment for Improvement #1 of \$25.06 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. The appellant did not contest the assessment of Improvement #2. Nevertheless, the Board finds the best evidence of Improvement #2 assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2, #3 and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$32.15 to \$38.40 per square foot of living area. The assessment for Improvement #2 of \$31.61 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's Improvement #1 was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	2	1. Fer
_		Chairman
al R		Robert Stoffen
Member		Member
Dan Dikin	-	Swah Bokley
Member		Member
DISSENTING: _		
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.		
	Date:	June 16, 2020

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Mauro Illorias

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Scott Patterson, by attorney: Michael Elliott Elliott & Associates, P.C. 1430 Lee Street Des Plaines, IL 60018

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602