

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Bronner
DOCKET NO.: 16-21729.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-33-423-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Bronner, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,375 **IMPR.:** \$95,083 **TOTAL:** \$103,458

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,035 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately five years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,700 square foot site and is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$800,000 as of January 1, 2016. The appraisal was prepared by Audrey Clamage, a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the sales comparison and cost approach to value.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed three comparable sales located from .21 to .57 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings that range in age from 8 to 99 years old. Comparable sales #2 and #3 have effective ages of 18 or 20 years old. The dwellings have full basements with finished area and central air conditioning. Two of the comparables have 2-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 2,074 to 2,938 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 5,418 to 10,150 square feet of land area. The comparables sold May or June 2015 for prices ranging from \$747,500 to \$830,000 or from \$274.03 to \$400.19 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$774,820 to \$882,440.

In estimating the cost approach to value, the appraiser estimated the subject's land value at \$190,000. The appraiser then calculated a replacement cost of \$968,700. The subject was depreciated by \$24,322 for a depreciated improvement value of \$944,378. The land was added back to arrive at an estimate value for the subject property under the cost approach of \$1,134,400. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$80,000 which would reflect the appraised value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$103,458. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,034,580 or \$340.88 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. Three of the comparables have different neighborhood assessment codes than the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings that range in age from 4 to 18 years old. The dwellings have partial or full basements, two of which have finished area and central air conditioning. Three of the comparables have one or two fireplaces and 2-car or 2.5-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 2,624 to 3,643 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 5,881 to 12,350 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February to August 2015 for prices ranging from \$990,000 to \$1,350,000 or from \$356.85 to \$427.49 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant critiqued the board of review's submission noting the comparable sales lacked adjustments for differences from the subject.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains an appraisal submitted by the appellant and four comparable sales provided by the board of review. The Board gives the final opinion of value found in the appraisal little weight due to lack of adjustments for age and/or explanation of how the reported effective age was determined. On this limited record, the Board will consider the raw sales data from both parties.

The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #3 and #4 due to their older actual ages when compared to the subject property. The appraiser reported effective ages of 18 or 20 years for comparable sales #3 and #4 but submitted no support for the assertion. Less weight was also given to the board of review comparable sales #2 through #4 due to their dissimilar location when compared to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant's comparable sale #1 and the board of review's comparable sale #1 sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date and more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and features. These comparables sold in May or August 2015 for prices of \$805,100 and \$1,060,000 or \$274.03 and \$369.85 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$1,034,580 or \$340.88 per square foot of living area including land, which falls between the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject is not overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

June 18, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Bronner, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602