

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul Guten

DOCKET NO.: 16-21723.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-06-301-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul Guten, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$30,108 IMPR.: \$152,175 TOTAL: \$182,283

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 5,155 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 47 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The property has a 16,727 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,485,000 as of January 1, 2016. The appraisal was prepared by Therese Garrity, a certified residential real estate appraiser. In estimating the market value of the subject property, the appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed three comparable sales located from .27 to 1.13 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings that range in age from 15 to 94 years old. The dwellings have full finished basements, central air conditioning and from 2-car to 4-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 3,450 to 4,731 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 15,680 to 25,763 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from July to December of 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,240,000 to \$1,675,000 or from \$277.28 to \$390.17 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$1,380,100 to \$1,754,200. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$148,500 which would reflect the appraised value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$182,283. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,822,830 or \$353.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on two comparable sales¹ and one equity comparable² located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings that are 25 or 41 years old. The dwellings have partial or full basements, which are unfinished, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and 2.5-car or 4-car garages. The dwellings contain 5,032 or 5,259 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain 26,573 or 28,748 square feet of land area. The comparables sold November 2013 or March 2016 for prices of \$1,733,000 and \$2,215,000 or \$344.40 and \$421.18 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant critiqued the board of review's submission.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains an appraisal submitted by the appellant and two comparable sales provided by the board of review. The Board gives the final opinion of value found in the appraisal little weight due to lack of adjustments for age. The Board will consider the raw sales data.

¹ The board of review's comparables #1 and #4 appear to depict the same properties.

² The board of review's included an equity comparable in the grid analysis which will not be further addressed on this record as the Board finds equity data is not responsive to the appellant's overvaluation argument.

The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #3 due to their newer or older age when compared to the subject property. Less weight was also given to board of review comparable sale #1 due to its 2013 sale date which is not proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the appellant's comparable sale #2 and the board of review's comparable sale #3 are proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date and more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, design and other features. These comparables sold in October 2015 or March 2016 for prices of \$1,350,000 and \$2,215,000 or \$390.17 and \$421.18 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$1,822,830 or \$353.60 per square foot of living area including land, which falls between the best comparables in this record on a total market value basis and below on a per square foot basis. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject is not overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
asout Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and comp Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the a	olete Final Administrative Decision of the

IMPORTANT NOTICE

June 18, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorias

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Date:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Paul Guten, by attorney: Abby L. Strauss Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC 33 North Dearborn Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602