

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Warren Pierce
DOCKET NO.:	16-21556.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	01-26-305-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Warren Pierce, the appellant, by Joseph Menges, of Menges Attorney at Law, P.C. in Wauconda; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$40,032
IMPR.:	\$59,968
TOTAL:	\$100,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 5,509 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 25 years old. Features of the home include a full basement partially finished, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and a four-car garage. The property has a 145,573 square foot site and is located in South Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$985,000 as of January 1, 2016. The appraisal was prepared by David Conaghan, a certified residential real estate appraiser.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed three comparable sales located from .30 to 1.35 miles from the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 18 to 28 years old. The dwellings had full basements, central air conditioning, from two to four fireplaces and three-car or four-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 5,120 to 6,591 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that range in size from 60,374 to 77,319 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from July 2013 to December 2015 for prices ranging from \$865,800 to \$1,000,000 or from \$135.75 to \$190.43 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser made adjustments to each comparable for differences from the subject property to arrive at adjusted prices ranging from \$849,350 to \$1,053,450. The appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$100,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$111,236. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,112,360 or \$201.92 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings that range in age from 12 to 22 years old. The dwellings had full basements, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and three-car or four-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 5,468 to 7,318 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 40,206 to 61,288 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from February 2013 to November 2014 for prices ranging from \$810,000 to \$2,225,000 or from \$145.37 to \$304.04 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the January 1, 2016, appraisal submitted by the appellant, estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,000,000. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record.

The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparables due to their smaller lot size when compared to the subject property. Moreover, comparable #2 and #3 sold in 2013 which is less proximate in time for the January 1, 2016 assessment date at issue. Furthermore, comparable #1 had a larger dwelling size when compared to the subject property. Based on this evidence the Board finds the subject is overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
22. Fer	abr
Member	Member
	Dan Di Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 21, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Warren Pierce, by attorney: Joseph Menges Menges Attorney at Law, P.C. 26460 North Main Street Wauconda, IL 60084

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602