

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ben Hutchen

DOCKET NO.: 16-21328.001-R-1 through 16-21328.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ben Hutchen, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
16-21328.001-R-1	05-20-224-006-0000	8,624	60,596	\$69,220
16-21328.002-R-1	05-20-224-008-0000	8,316	50,173	\$58,489

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two parcels, 05-20-224-006-0000 and 05-20-224-008-0000. The parcels are improved with a 2-story masonry dwelling containing 3,469 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 13 years old and features a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The subject is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. It is classified as a Class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three, 2-story, Class 2-78 comparables. The comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject. They range in age from 12 to 18 years old and range in size from 3,464 to 3,717 square feet of living area. The comparables feature basements, two with finished areas, and 2-car garages. Two comparables feature

fireplaces and central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$106,261 to \$114,024 or \$30.68 and \$30.74 per square foot of living area. The appellant's attorney submitted an Addendum to Petition disclosing the board of review improvement assessments for each parcel. Parcel 224-006 has an improvement assessment of \$60,596. Parcel 224-008 has an improvement assessment of \$50,173. The subject has a combined improvement assessment for both parcels of \$110,769. The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief requesting the subject's total combined assessment for both parcels be reduced to \$114,814. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment for parcel 224-006 be reduced to \$53,390 and the improvement assessment for parcel 224-008 be reduced to \$44,511. These reductions would result in a combined improvement assessment for both parcels of \$97,901 or \$28.22 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the improvement assessment for parcel 05-20-224-006-0000 of \$60,596. The board of review did not disclose the assessment for parcel 05-20-224-008-0000. In the grid analysis, the board of review reported only the improvement assessment for parcel 224-006 which resulted in an incorrect improvement assessment for the subject of \$17.47 per square foot of living area.

In support of the assessment the board of review submitted information on three, 2-story, Class 2-78 comparables having the same neighborhood code as the subject and located within a quarter-mile of the subject. The comparables range in age from 9 to 16 years old and range in size from 3,128 to 3,199 square feet of living area. They feature finished basements, central air conditioning, 1 to 3 fireplaces and 2-car garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,040 to \$114,847 or \$34.54 and \$35.90 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives equal weight to both parties' comparables which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, style, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$106,261 to \$114,847 or from \$30.68 to \$35.90 per square foot of living area. The appellant disclosed the combined improvement assessment for both parcels of \$110,769 or \$31.93 per square foot of living area which is within the range established by the comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence

Docket No: 16-21328.001-R-1 through 16-21328.002-R-1

that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

Docket No: 16-21328.001-R-1 through 16-21328.002-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	CAR.
Member	Member
Solvet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C E R T I</u>	FICATION
As Cloubs of the Illinois Duomonts Tox Annual	Doord and the Ironnar of the Decords thereof I do

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 21, 2019		
	Maus Illorios		
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board		

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

Docket No: 16-21328.001-R-1 through 16-21328.002-R-1

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Ben Hutchen, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602