



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Eric Sutherland
DOCKET NO.: 16-21188.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-16-101-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Eric Sutherland, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$67,567
IMPR.: \$139,203
TOTAL: \$206,770

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 5,882 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 82 years old. Features of the home include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a three-car garage. The property has a 34,650 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables with the same classification and neighborhood codes as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction. The appellant's comparable #2 is a 93-year old dwelling containing 6,012

square feet of living area with a partial unfinished basement, two fireplaces, and a 2.5-car garage. The remaining three comparables have dwellings ranging in age from 10 to 116 years old containing from 8,000 to 10,568 square feet square feet of living area with partial or full basements, two of which have finished areas. Other features of these three comparables includes two dwellings with central air conditioning, and each comparable with either two or four fireplaces and either a 2-car, a 3-car, or a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$127,963 to \$230,532 or from \$16.14 to \$21.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$118,522 or \$20.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$206,770. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$139,203 or \$23.67 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The board of review comparable #1 has the same classification and neighborhood codes as the subject property and is a 77-year old, two-story dwelling with masonry exterior construction containing 5,149 square feet of living area with a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a 2-car garage. The remaining three comparables have different classification and neighborhood codes as the subject property and have from 64 to 67-year old, one-story dwellings with frame exterior construction containing from 728 to 915 square feet of living area with partial or full unfinished basements. Other features of these three comparables includes one comparable with central air conditioning and a 1-car garage and one comparable with a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,014 to \$140,438 or from \$24.30 to \$27.27 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, #3, and #4 due to their significant differences in age and/or dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 when compared to the subject due to their different classification and neighborhood codes, as well as significant differences in their one-story designs, newer ages, smaller dwelling sizes, and other features.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 along with the board of review comparable #1. These two comparables are closer to the subject in age and dwelling size than the other comparables and are most similar in location, design, and

some features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$127,963 and \$140,438 or \$21.28 and \$27.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$139,203 or \$23.67 per square foot of living area falls between the two most similar comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 21, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Eric Sutherland, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602