

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Harry Sinclair
DOCKET NO.: 16-20803.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-07-401-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Harry Sinclair, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$20,496 IMPR.: \$61,628 TOTAL: \$82,124

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco construction. The dwelling is approximately 95 years old and has 2,484 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 12,810 square-foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same classification code as the subject. The appellant did not report the comparables' proximity to the subject property; however, two of the comparables have a different assigned neighborhood code than the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, frame and

masonry or stucco construction. The dwellings are from 91 to 123 years old and contain from 2,387 to 2,625 square feet of living area. The comparables have full or partial unfinished basements and 2-car garages. Four comparables have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$54,506 to \$57,780 or from \$21.62 to \$23.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$54,672 or \$22.01 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$82,124 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$61,628 or \$24.81 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Two of the comparables were described as being located on the same block as the subject property, and the other two comparables were described as being located a quarter-mile from the subject.\(^1\) The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of stucco or frame construction. The dwellings are from 90 to 111 years old and contain from 2,329 to 2,743 square feet of living area. Three comparables have full or partial unfinished basements, and another comparable has a full finished basement. One comparable has central air conditioning. The comparables have one or three fireplaces and garages, either 1½-car or 2-car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$60,000 to \$72,193 or from \$25.21 to \$26.32 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds all nine comparables are two-story dwellings that are similar to the subject in many characteristics. The Board gave more weight to the comparables submitted by the board of review, because they are shown to be located in close proximity to the subject property. Board of review comparables #1 and #3 are located on the same block as the subject; comparable #2 has a street address that indicates it is on the same street as the subject, one block away; and comparable #4 was described as being located a quarter-mile from the subject. In addition, the board of review comparables are similar to the subject in age, living area and foundation. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$60,000 to \$72,193 or from \$25.21 to \$26.32 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$61,628 or \$24.81 per square foot of living area falls below the range established on a per

¹ Board of review comparable #2 is located on the same block as the subject and was described as being in poor condition. However, the board of review's photographic evidence does not depict a house in poor condition.

square foot basis by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complete of the comple	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mauro Illorias

July 16, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Harry Sinclair, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602