



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Thomas Cherubini  
DOCKET NO.: 16-20792.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-21-320-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Thomas Cherubini, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$22,815  
**IMPR.:** \$93,927  
**TOTAL:** \$116,742

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 79 years old and has 3,298 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2-car garage. The property has an 11,700 square-foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The dwellings are from 100 to 125 years old and contain from 3,230 to 3,348 square feet of living area. The comparables have full

unfinished basements; four comparables have central air conditioning; two comparables have a fireplace each; and four comparables have garages, either 2-car or 3-car. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$78,200 to \$85,531 or from \$24.14 to \$25.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$80,669 or \$24.46 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$116,742 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$93,927 or \$28.48 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties that have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Three of the comparables were described as being located either on the same block or a quarter-mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or stucco construction. The dwellings are from 96 to 103 years old and contain from 2,264 to 2,572 square feet of living area. The comparables have full unfinished basements and one or two fireplaces. Two of the comparables have central air conditioning, and three have garages, either 1½-car or 2-car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$74,891 to \$89,634 or from \$29.30 to \$34.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds that none of the comparables were shown to be sufficiently similar to the subject property. Although all of the appellant's comparables are similar to the subject in living area, they are much older than the subject. Although three of the board of review comparables are located near the subject property, the board of review comparables are also older than the subject and have significantly less living area than the subject. The Board finds that all of the comparables submitted for this appeal have improvement assessments that range from \$75,047 to \$89,634 or from \$24.14 to \$34.85 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$93,927 or \$28.48 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the comparables in this record on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman





\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member





\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 20, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Thomas Cherubini, by attorney:  
Timothy E. Moran  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 West Washington Street  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602