



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ronald Curran  
DOCKET NO.: 16-20789.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-27-312-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ronald Curran, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$17,600  
**IMPR.:** \$99,311  
**TOTAL:** \$116,911

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of stucco construction. The dwelling is approximately 108 years old and has 3,593 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,800 square-foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction. The dwellings are from 92 to 143 years old and contain from 3,450 to 3,666 square feet of living area. The comparables have full or partial

basements, with one having finished area. Two comparables have central air conditioning. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces. Four comparables have garages that range from 2-car to 3½-car. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$90,100 to \$95,186 or from \$25.62 to \$26.52 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$93,849 or \$26.12 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$116,911 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$99,311 or \$27.64 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties. The comparables have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject and were described as being located on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame or stucco construction. The dwellings are from 108 to 118 years old and contain from 2,960 to 3,646 square feet of living area. The comparables have full or partial basements, with one having finished area. The comparables have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and garages that range from 1½-car to 3-car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$84,988 to \$111,595 or from \$28.34 to \$31.17 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds that board of review comparables #1 through #3 have significantly less living area than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's five comparables and board of review comparable #4. Despite differences in central air conditioning, these six comparables are very similar to the subject in living area as well as location, story height, age and foundation. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$92,967 to \$111,595 or from \$25.62 to \$30.61 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$99,311 or \$27.64 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board considered adjustments and differences in the comparables when compared to the subject. Board of review comparable #4 has central air conditioning, a feature the subject does not have. The superior attribute of central air conditioning helps to explain why board of review comparable #4 has a higher improvement assessment than the subject.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 17, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ronald Curran, by attorney:  
Timothy E. Moran  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 West Washington Street  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602