



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sunil Rao
DOCKET NO.: 16-20682.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-28-418-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sunil Rao, the appellant, by attorney Noah J. Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,710
IMPR.: \$68,290
TOTAL: \$78,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 4,958 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately nine years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 3-car garage. The property has a 35,310-square foot site and is located in South Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and contention of law as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant completed Section IV-Recent Sale Data disclosing the subject was purchased on April 28, 2016 for a price of \$780,000 as set forth in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Master Statement indicating realtor commissions were paid. Counsel for

the appellant reported that the sale was an arm's length transaction and the sellers were Subhash Mookerji and Sharmita Misa. Counsel also disclosed the property was sold by a realtor and was advertised for sale in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS).

The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief claiming the 2014 three-year median assessment level of the subject should be 9.40% instead of the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for Class 2 property of 10%. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$89,300.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$99,000. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$990,000 or \$199.68 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry that are 4 or 9 years old. The comparables have full unfinished basements, central air conditioning, one fireplace and 3-car garages. The dwellings range in size from 4,214 to 4,901 square feet of living area and sold from June 2014 to May 2015 for prices ranging from \$946,380 to \$1,131,447 or from \$205.96 to \$268.50 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the evidence in the record does support a reduction to the subject's assessment.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in April 28, 2016 for a price of \$780,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant disclosed the sellers were Subhash Mookerji and Sharmita Misa. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified on this basis.

Section 1910.50 of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board provides in part that:

- c) The decisions of the Property Tax Appeal Board will be based on equity and the weight of the evidence...

- 2) In Cook County, for residential property of six units or less currently designated as Class 2 real estate according to the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, as amended, when sufficient probative evidence indicating the estimate of full market value of the subject property on the relevant assessment date is presented, the Board may consider evidence of the appropriate level of assessment for property in that class. The evidence may include:
 - A) the Department of Revenue's annual sales ratio studies for Class 2 property for the previous three years; and
 - B) competent assessment level evidence, if any, submitted by the parties pursuant to this Part.

86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)(A)(B)

The appellant also contends the subject's assessment level should be 9.40% based on the median level of assessment for Barrington Township for tax year 2014. The Board finds the township median level of assessment for 2014 is inappropriate in determining the assessed value in tax year 2016. Therefore, for purposes of this analysis, the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for Class 2 property of 10% apply. Based on this record the Board finds a further reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on this basis.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 20, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sunil Rao, by attorney:
Noah J. Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602