

### FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Michael Marx
DOCKET NO.:	16-20665.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	05-33-102-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Marx, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$22,567
IMPR.:	\$39,797
TOTAL:	\$62,364

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,014 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 100 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 13,275-square foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment overvaluation and contention of law as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant partailly completed Section IV-Recent Sale Data disclosing the subject was purchased on May 27, 2016 for a price of \$445,500. To document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement indicating realtor commissions were paid. Counsel for the appellant also reported that the sale was an

arm's length transaction and that the seller was Laura M. Oatney. However, counsel failed to disclose if the property was advertised, how it was advertised or the length of time the subject was on the market.

The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief claiming the 2014 three-year median assessment level of the subject should be 8.16% instead of the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for Class 2 property of 10%. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted a printout of Assessment Ratios for 2014 showing the median level of assessment for Class 2 property for New Trier Township for 2014 was 8.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$36,353.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,364. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$623,640 or \$309.65 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 76 to 154 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, two of which have finished area, one fireplace and 1-car to 2.5-car garages. One of the comparables has central air conditioning. The dwellings range in size from 1,662 to 2,079 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 7,500 to 13,410 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June to October 2016 for prices ranging from \$530,000 to \$675,000 or from \$295.06 to \$334.35 per square foot of living area, including land. As part of the submission, the board of review made reference to the sale of the subject property in May 2016 for a price of \$445,500.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in-part the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the evidence in the record does not support a reduction to the subject's assessment.

The Board gave little weight to the subject's May 27, 2016 sale date. The Board finds the appellant failed to disclose if the property was advertised for sale, the manner on how it was advertised and the length of time on the market, which are important elements when demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. Additionally, the Board gave less weight to board of review's sale #2 due to its smaller dwelling size and older age when compared to the subject property. The Board finds the three remaining board of review

comparable sales are similar when compared to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and most features. These comparables sold from June to October 2016 for prices ranging from \$555,000 to \$675,000 or from \$295.06 to \$334.35 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$623,364 or \$309.65 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the best comparables contained in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on this basis.

The appellant also contends the subject's assessment level should be 8.16% based on the median level of assessment for New Trier Township for tax year 2014. The Board finds the township median level of assessment for 2014 is inappropriate in determining the assessed value in tax year 2016.

Section 1910.50 of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board provides in part that:

- c) The decisions of the Property Tax Appeal Board will be based on equity and the weight of the evidence...
  - 2) In Cook County, for residential property of six units or less currently designated as Class 2 real estate according to the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, as amended, when sufficient probative evidence indicating the estimate of full market value of the subject property on the relevant assessment date is presented, the Board may consider evidence of the appropriate level of assessment for property in that class. The evidence may include:
    - A) the Department of Revenue's annual sales ratio studies for Class 2 property for the previous three years; and
    - B) competent assessment level evidence, if any, submitted by the parties pursuant to this Part.

86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)(A)(B)

Therefore, for purposes of this analysis, the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessment for Class 2 property of 10% shall be considered, therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted on this basis.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
22. Fer	C R
Member	Member
sover Staffer	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 20, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### APPELLANT

Michael Marx, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

#### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602