



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Daksha Mehta
DOCKET NO.: 16-20384.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-22-103-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daksha Mehta, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,741
IMPR.: \$94,768
TOTAL: \$116,509

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is 28 years old and has 6,194 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a three and one-half car garage. The property has a 79,061 square-foot site and is located in South Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables with the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The appellant did not report the comparables' proximity to the subject property; however, the comparables have parcel index numbers that indicate that they are not located near the subject. The comparables are improved

with two-story dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings are from 19 to 77 years old and contain from 5,764 to 6,358 square feet of living area. Two comparables have unfinished basements, either full or partial, and three comparables have full finished basements. All five comparables have central air conditioning, from one to four fireplaces, and garages that range from two and one-half car to four-car. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$62,519 to \$86,250 or from \$10.43 to \$13.57 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$75,938 or \$12.26 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$116,509 was disclosed. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$94,768 or \$15.30 per square foot of living area. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties. The comparables have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject, and two were described as being located in close proximity to the subject property. The subject property and the comparables were described as being of deluxe condition. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry construction. The dwellings are from 24 to 28 years old and contain from 5,793 to 6,514 square feet of living area. One comparable has a full unfinished basement, and three comparables have finished basements, either full or partial. The comparables have central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and garages that range from three-car to four-car. The comparable properties have improvement assessments that range from \$96,141 to \$140,046 or from \$15.81 to \$23.83 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The Board finds the appellant's comparable #5 is significantly older than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. Although the appellant's comparables #1 through #4 are very similar to the subject in many characteristics, the appellant did not report their proximity to the subject, and their parcel index numbers indicate they are not located near the subject property. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review. The board of review comparables were described as being of deluxe quality like the subject. Board of review comparables #1 and #4 are located in close proximity to the subject and comparables #2 and #3 are located in the same township section as the subject. In addition, the board of review comparables were very similar to the subject in story height, masonry construction, age, living area and most features. The board of review comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$96,141 to \$140,046 or from \$15.81 to \$23.83 per

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$94,768 or \$15.30 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 16, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Daksha Mehta, by attorney:
Timothy E. Moran
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602