



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Snellenbarger  
DOCKET NO.: 16-20359.001-R-1 through 16-20359.002-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Snellenbarger, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
16-20359.001-R-1	05-34-406-002-0000	17,100	199,350	\$216,450
16-20359.002-R-1	05-34-406-003-0000	8,550	0	\$8,550

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 5,646 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 4 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 9,000 square foot site and is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables, three of which were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction containing from 5,317 to 5,904 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 29 to 96 years old. The

homes feature full or partial basements, two of which have finished area, one, three or five fireplaces and a 2-car or 3-car garage. Four comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$119,193 to \$181,610 or from \$20.39 to \$30.76 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$153,571 or \$27.20 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject property has an improvement assessment of \$199,350 or \$35.31 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were two-story dwellings of stucco or masonry exterior construction containing from 5,116 to 5,321 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 4 to 13 years old. The homes feature full finished basements, central air conditioning, one or three fireplaces and a 1.5-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$185,761 to \$193,207 or \$36.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their dissimilar neighborhood codes and/or older ages when compared to the subject. The Board finds the board of review's comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age and features. The best comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$185,761 to \$193,207 or \$36.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$199,350 or \$35.31 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record on a total improvement assessment basis and below the range on a per square foot basis. However, after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, such as the subject's superior age, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels,

all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

David Snellenbarger, by attorney:  
Timothy E. Moran  
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.  
111 West Washington Street  
Suite 1300  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602