



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James D. Gerage
DOCKET NO.: 16-20334.001-R-1 through 16-20334.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James D. Gerage, the appellant, by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin in Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
16-20334.001-R-1	01-07-401-017-0000	14,041	132,065	\$146,106
16-20334.002-R-1	01-07-401-018-0000	4,403	0	\$4,403

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 7,337 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 11 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a 4-car garage. The property has a 187,221 square foot site and is located in Barrington Hills, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were one and one-half story or two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction containing from 7,416 to 9,729 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 16 to

81 years old. The homes feature full or partial basements, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning, two, three or seven fireplaces and from a 2-car to a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,691 to \$141,918 or from \$6.84 to \$16.62 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$90,384 or \$12.32 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$146,106. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$132,065 or \$18.00 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three suggested equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. One comparable was located on the same block as the subject and the board of review's comparable #1 was the subject property, which will not be weighed as a comparable in the Board's analysis. The two comparables were similar two-story dwellings of masonry construction containing 5,572 or 8,336 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 10 or 11 years old. The homes feature full finished basements, central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces and a 4-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$115,574 and \$153,540 or \$20.74 and \$18.42 per square foot of living area, respectively. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of six comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4, due to their older ages when compared to the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparables. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$115,574 to \$153,540 or from \$16.62 to \$20.74 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$132,065 or \$18.00 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex

Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James D. Gerage, by attorney:
Joseph G. Kusper
Storino Ramello & Durkin
9501 West Devon Avenue
Suite 800
Rosemont, IL 60018

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602