

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew Loeb
DOCKET NO.: 16-20247.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-01-400-042-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew Loeb, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,830 **IMPR.:** \$65,170 **TOTAL:** \$69,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 3,456 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 13 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has an 8,064 square foot site and is located in Barrington, Barrington Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five equity comparables, three of which were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were similar two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction containing from 3,192 to 3,726 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 9 to 27 years

old. The homes feature full or partial basements, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a 2-car or 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$51,310 to \$65,765 or from \$16.07 to \$17.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$58,717 or \$16.99 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$69,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$65,170 or \$18.86 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were similar two-story dwellings of frame construction containing from 3,392 to 3,873 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 7 to 12 years old. The homes feature full basements, one of which is finished, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car or 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$68,100 to \$86,002 or from \$19.43 to \$22.21 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, due to their locations outside of the subject's neighborhood code and their older ages when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #1 due to their newer ages when compared to the subject. In addition, the board of review's comparable #1 is considerably larger than the subject. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #4 and #5, as well as the board of review's comparables #2, # and #4. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$63,417 to \$69,259 or from \$17.65 to \$20.40 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$65,170 or \$18.86 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in the record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex

Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which exists on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Morino	
Chairman	
21. Fe	
Member	Member
	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 19, 2019

Star Mulyne

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Matthew Loeb, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602