

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: William Stasser
DOCKET NO.: 16-20235.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-07-119-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Stasser, the appellant, by attorney John S. Xydakis, of the Law Offices of John S. Xydakis in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$14,000 IMPR.: \$38,596 TOTAL: \$52,596

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements situated on one parcel. Dwelling #1 is described as a 1-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction. Dwelling #1 is approximately 132 years old and has 1,104 square feet of living area and a full unfinished basement. Dwelling #2 is described as a 2-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction. Dwelling #2 is approximately four years old and has 504 square feet of living area. Features include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The subject property has a 10,000 square foot site and is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. Under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance, dwelling #1 is classified as a class 2-03 property and dwelling #2 is classified as a class 2-07 property.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant stated that dwelling #1 had an improvement assessment of \$38,596 or \$34.96 per square foot of living area; however, that calculation was arrived at by dividing the combined improvement assessment for

both of the subject's dwellings by dwelling #1's living area. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on seven, single-family comparables for dwelling #1 but did not present any evidence regarding dwelling #2.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$52,596. Dwelling #1 has an improvement assessment of \$25,350 or \$22.96 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #2 has an improvement assessment of \$13,246 or \$36.28 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted two grid analyses with information on four equity properties as comparables for each dwelling. The board of review comparables for dwelling #1 were also submitted by the appellant.\(^1\) The four comparables submitted with the "Notes on Appeal" have improvement assessments ranging from \$26,323 to \$39,484 or from \$23.03 to \$30.56 per square foot of living area. The comparables submitted for dwelling #2 have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,432 to \$23,742 or from \$26.51 to \$32.98 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties presented assessment data on a total of seven comparables for the subject's dwelling #1. The Board finds that all of the comparables were one-story or 1½-story, single-family dwellings similar to the subject in living area and located in the same general area as the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$22.84 to \$30.56 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #1 has an improvement assessment of \$22.96 per square foot of living area, thus demonstrating that dwelling #1 is not inequitably assessed. The Board also finds the appellant failed to present any evidence to dispute the assessment for dwelling #2. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$26.51 to \$32.98 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #2 has an improvement assessment of \$36.98 per square foot of living area which is above the range of the comparables submitted by the board of review but seems justified due to its much newer age. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvements were inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

_

¹ Board of review comparable #1 is the same property the appellant's comparable #5; board of review #2 is the same property as the appellant's #2; board of review #3 is the same property as the appellant's #7; and board of review #4 is the same property as the appellant's #6.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING: <u>CERTI</u>	FICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	te: June 18, 2019	
_	Mauro Illoriose	
_	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

William Stasser, by attorney: John S. Xydakis Law Offices of John S. Xydakis 30 North Michigan Avenue Suite 402 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602