

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Su Chuan Hsu
DOCKET NO.:	16-20027.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.:	11-18-104-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Su Chuan Hsu, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$6,552
IMPR.:	\$57,218
TOTAL:	\$63,770

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a 2-story masonry apartment building containing 3,416 square feet of living area in four apartment units. The dwelling is 118 years old and features a full basement finished into an apartment¹ and a 1-car garage. The subject is located in Evanston, Evanston Township, Cook County. The subject property is classified as a Class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and contention of law as the bases of the appeal. In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on five assessment comparables. They consist of 2-story, Class 2-11 apartment buildings, one of which has the same neighborhood code as the subject. They range in size from 3,046 to 3,488 square feet of

¹ The appellant did not disclose information on the subject's basement size or finish. The board of review reported the subject has a full basement finished into an apartment.

living area and range in age from 103 to 118 years old. The comparables have full or partial unfinished basements and 1 to 3-car garages. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$42,477 to \$47,503 or from \$13.38 to \$15.16 per square foot of living area.

The appellant's attorney also submitted a brief requesting "the 2016 assessment on the subject be revised to reflect a building assessed value of \$14.37 per square foot (median of the comparables cited) which indicates a revised assessment of \$55,639." Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's 2016 improvement assessment be reduced to \$49,087 or \$14.37 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,770. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$57,218 or \$16.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four 2-story Class 2-11 apartment buildings, three of which have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables range in size from 2,733 to 3,545 square feet of living area and range in age from 113 to 124 years old. One of the comparables has a full basement finished into an apartment, two comparables have unfinished basements, and one is on a crawl-space foundation. One comparable features a fireplace and two have 2 or 4-car garages. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,148 to \$60,981 or from \$16.94 to \$22.31 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to all five of the appellant's comparables due to their locations and/or inferior unfinished basements when compared to the subject's finished basement apartment. In addition, the Board gave less weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their location and/or inferior unfinished basements or crawl-space foundation. The Board finds board of review comparable #1 most similar to the subject in location, age, style, exterior construction, dwelling size and features. This comparable has an improvement assessment of \$59,925 or \$17.00 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$57,218 or \$16.75 per square foot of living area which is below the improvement assessment of the most similar comparable in the record. Thus, the Board finds the appellant did not

demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Member DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 19, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Su Chuan Hsu, by attorney: Timothy E. Moran Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. 111 West Washington Street Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602