



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jay Boddicker  
DOCKET NO.: 16-06636.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 06-36-300-077

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jay Boddicker, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$131,780  
**IMPR.:** \$368,671  
**TOTAL:** \$500,451

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,415 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2000. Features of the home include a full basement with finished area, central air conditioning, seven fireplaces and a 762 square foot garage. The property has a 43,827 square foot site and is located in Oak Brook, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant did not contest the land assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the township assessor. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 6,456 to 6,693 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1991 and 1994. The comparables have basements, two of which have finished

area. Features of each comparable include central air conditioning, two to nine fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 785 to 1,156 square feet of building area.<sup>1</sup> The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$318,890 to \$338,890 or from \$49.21 to \$50.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$600,450. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$468,670 or \$73.06 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the township assessor. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,688 to 8,519 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1999 to 2007. The comparables have basements, three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two to five fireplaces and an attached garage ranging in size from 484 to 850 square feet of building area. One comparable has a detached garage with 536 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$305,540 to \$627,670 or from \$73.68 to \$103.99 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparables based on their dissimilar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables. They are most similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and most features. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$318,890 to \$338,890 or from \$49.21 to \$50.63 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$468,670 or \$73.06 per square foot of living area, which falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for

---

<sup>1</sup> The appellants' grid analysis was void of some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is excessive.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jay Boddicker, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review  
DuPage Center  
421 N. County Farm Road  
Wheaton, IL 60187