



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Davis
DOCKET NO.: 16-06306.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-14-123-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Davis, the appellant, by attorney Nora Devine, of Steven B. Pearlman & Associates in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$75,830
IMPR.: \$333,370
TOTAL: \$409,200

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 2-story dwelling of frame construction with 4,150 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2012. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, an attached two-car garage and a detached one-car garage. The property has a 20,000 square foot site and is located in Glen Ellyn, Milton Township, DuPage County.¹

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$925,000 as of January 1, 2016.

¹ The parties differ slightly as to the size of the subject's dwelling. Nevertheless, the Board finds the best evidence of the subject dwelling's size was the delineated sketch within the appellant's appraisal.

The appellant's appraisal was completed using the sales comparison approach to value property in estimating a market value for the subject property. The appellant's appraiser selected seven suggested comparable properties that were located from .16 to 1.3 miles from the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes ranging from 10,545 to 17,524 square feet of land area. The comparables were described as "Traditional", "Farmhouse", "4-Square" or "Georgian" style dwellings that ranged in size from 3,598 to 4,803 square feet of living area. The comparables were built from 1909 to 2015. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had sale dates ranging from September 2013 to May 2015 and sold for prices ranging from \$900,000 to \$960,000 or from \$197.79 to \$250.14 per square foot of living area, including land. After adjustments, the comparables had adjusted sale prices ranging from \$906,300 to \$957,450 or from \$188.69 to \$253.75 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this sales analysis, the appraiser estimated that the subject would have a value of \$925,000 as of January 1, 2016.

Based on this evidence the appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced to \$309,500.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$409,200. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,229,198 or \$296.19 per square foot of living area, including land, when using 4,150 square feet of living area and when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid analysis containing information on seven comparable properties that were located from .22 to 1.07 miles from the subject property. The comparables had lot sizes ranging from 11,929 to 22,574 square feet of land area. The comparables were 2-story or 2.5-story dwellings that ranged in size from 3,697 to 5,464 square feet of living area. The comparables were built from 2009 to 2015. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had sale dates ranging from June 2014 to April 2016 and sold for prices ranging from \$1,110,000 to \$1,942,500 or from \$300.24 to \$437.17 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review's submission included a brief that argued the appellant's appraisal sale #3 was significantly older than the subject. In addition, the board of review submitted a location map of the parties' comparables showing their proximity to the subject.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As an initial matter regarding the appellant's appraisal, the Board gave less weight to the value conclusion due to the appraiser's use of a sale that occurred 27 months prior to the January 1, 2016 assessment date at issue. The appraisal also included a home that was originally built in 1909, which the Board finds would not be comparable to the subject dwelling having been constructed in 2012. Furthermore, the Board finds that based on the comparable sales submitted by the board of review, there were more recent sales of properties located closer to the subject available for selection.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's appraisal sale #6, as well as the board of review's comparable sales #3, #6 and #7. These comparables were similar to the subject in location, design, age, size and features. These comparables also sold more proximate in time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date at issue, than did the parties' remaining comparables. The best comparables sold from May 2015 to April 2016 for prices ranging from \$960,000 to \$1,517,500 or from \$243.72 to \$333.52 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,229,198 or \$296.19 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board gave less weight to the parties' remaining comparable sales due to their sales occurring greater than 13 months prior to the January 1, 2016 assessment date at issue. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 21, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mark Davis, by attorney:
Nora Devine
Steven B. Pearlman & Associates
350 West Hubbard Street
Suite 630
Chicago, IL 60654

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187