

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

| APPELLANT: | Ben Voight |
|--------------|------------------|
| DOCKET NO.: | 16-06209.001-R-1 |
| PARCEL NO .: | 09-11-112-011 |

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ben Voight, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| LAND: | \$60,130 |
|--------|-----------|
| IMPR.: | \$217,180 |
| TOTAL: | \$277,310 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,100 square feet of living area. The dwelling was originally constructed in 1958 with additions in 1990 and 1995. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, a fireplace and a 440 square foot garage. The property has an 9,039 square foot site and is located in Clarendon Hills, Downers Grove Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant did not contest the land assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as assigned by the township assessor. The comparables are improved with part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,997 to 3,229 square feet of living area. The dwellings were originally constructed from 1965 to 1989. Two of

the comparables had additions in either 1999 or 1998 and 2006. The comparables have basements, two of which are 25% to 50% finished. Features of each comparable include central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 594 square feet of building area.¹ The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$189,750 to \$205,210 or from \$63.31 to \$63.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$277,310. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$217,180 or \$70.06 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the township assessor. The comparables consist of part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 3,109 to 3,389 square feet of living area. The dwellings were originally constructed from 1990 to 1996. One comparable had an addition in 2003. Each comparable features a basement, two of which are 25% to 50% finished; two comparables have central air conditioning; each comparable has a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 462 to 539 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$230,240 to \$254,800 or from \$69.23 to \$81.96 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted six equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds both parties comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and most features. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$189,750 to \$254,800 or from \$63.31 to \$81.96 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$217,180 or \$70.06 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the best comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported.

¹ The appellant's grid analysis was lacked some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| | Chairman |
|--------------|------------|
| 22. Fer | ChR- |
| Member | Member |
| sover Soffer | Dan Dikini |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 16, 2019

Mano Morios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ben Voight, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187