

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kathleen Di Gaudio DOCKET NO.: 16-06195.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-01-315-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kathleen Di Gaudio, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$76,420 IMPR.: \$223,890 TOTAL: \$300,310

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 4,390 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1928. Features of the home include an unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property is located in Elmhurst, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The appellant did not contest the land assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the local assessor. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,703 to 3,998 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1950 to 1953. Each comparable has a basement and a two-

car garage.¹ The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$165,550 to \$183,530 or from \$44.71 to \$47.01 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$300,310. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$223,890 or \$51.00 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the local assessor. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of frame and masonry or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,036 to 4,494 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1922 to 1938. Each comparable has a basement. Four comparables have a two-car or a three-car garage and one comparable has a carport. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$213,160 to \$271,110 or from \$52.81 to \$61.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted rebuttal critiquing board of review's submission noting differences in number of bathrooms and basement area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables based on their dissimilar age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables which are more similar in design, dwelling size, age and most features when compared to the subject. These five comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$213,160 to \$271,110 or from \$52.81 to \$61.42 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$223,890 or \$51.00 per square foot of living area, which is well supported by the best comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the

¹ The appellants' grid analysis was void of some pertinent descriptive data, which was drawn from the evidence provided by the board of review.

comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported.

Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
asort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DISSENTING.	
<u>C E R T I</u>	FICATION
	l Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do ll and complete Final Administrative Decision of the

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mauro Illorias

May 21, 2019

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kathleen Di Gaudio, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187