

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Jawad Hussain DOCKET NO.: 16-06190.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-12-107-027

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jawad Hussain, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$99,900 IMPR.: \$187,790 TOTAL: \$287,690

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 3,802 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1955. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a 536 square foot garage. The property has a 20,039 square foot site and is located in Glen Ellyn, Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within same neighborhood code as assigned by the assessor. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,108 to 3,557 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1951 to 1964. Each comparable has a basement, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning and one or two

fireplaces.<sup>1</sup> Two comparables have 2-car attached garages with 462 or 483 square feet of building area. One comparable has a 2-car basement garage. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 12,372 to 14,806 square feet of land area. The comparables sold in August 2014 or May 2015 for prices ranging from \$620,000 to \$647,500 or from \$182.04 to \$199.49 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$287,690. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$864,193 or \$227.30 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to appellant's evidence, the board of review submitted a detailed report analysis of the appellant's comparables and a map depicting the locations of both parties comparables and the subject. The board of review also noted remodeling and/or additions that have been completed for both parties comparables except for appellant's comparable #3 which has no record of any improvements. Permits have been submitted as supporting evidence. Lastly, the board of review argued that appellant's comparables are inferior to the subject.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that are located in the same neighborhood code as assigned by the assessor. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,321 to 4,056 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1907 to 1929. Each comparable has a basement, three of which have finished area, central air conditioning and one to three fireplaces. Two comparables have a 2-car garage with either 420 or 480 square feet of building area; one comparable has 694 square foot carport; and one comparable has a 3-car basement garage. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 10,285 to 17,524 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from January 2013 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$820,000 to \$937,500 or from \$228.06 to \$267.99 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject property's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant's grid analysis lacked the number of fireplaces which was drawn from evidence provided by the board of review.

The Board finds the parties submitted seven suggested comparable sales for consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its considerably smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparable #1 based on its sale that occurred in 2013 which is dated and less likely to be reflective of market value as of the subject's January 1, 2016 assessment date. Lastly, reduced weight was given to the board of review comparable #3 due to the reference made that the sale was a "multi-parcel sale", which was not explained in the board of review's submission.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 along with board of review comparables #2 and #4. These four comparables are most similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and most features though all have smaller lot sizes. These comparables sold from August 2014 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$645,000 to \$937,500 or from \$182.04 to \$267.99 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$864,193 or \$227.30 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobot Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFI</u>	CATION
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Bohereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full an	-

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this

Date: August 20, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Mano Illorios

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Jawad Hussain, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187