

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: AMH 2015 1 Borrower LLC

DOCKET NO.: 16-06014.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-31-200-060

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are AMH 2015 1 Borrower LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,290 **IMPR.:** \$65,970 **TOTAL:** \$84,260

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,134 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include an unfinished basement and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 6,420 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Naperville Township, DuPage County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation claim, the appellant submitted limited descriptive information for six comparable sale properties reported to be located from .37 to .93 of a mile from the subject property. The Board requested that the appellant complete the grid analysis in Section V of the appeal petition. The appellant failed to comply with the Board's request and failed to disclose the comparables' exterior construction, foundation type and features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages. The comparables consist of

two-story dwellings that were built from 1978 to 1994 and range in size from 1,904 to 2,362 square feet of above grade living area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,380 to 13,500 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from April 2013 to May 2015 for prices ranging from \$138,000 to \$245,000 or from \$58.43 to \$110.71 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$84,260. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$253,109 or \$118.61 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appellant's submission, the board of review submitted a spreadsheet of appellant's comparables #1 through #4, property record cards and a map depicting the locations of the subject and the comparables. In addition, the board of review argued that the appellant's comparables are not located within the same neighborhood code as the subject.

In further support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the local assessor. The comparables are described as two-story dwellings of frame or frame and brick construction ranging in size from 1,616 to 2,057 square feet of living area. The comparables were built in 1995 or 1996. The comparables have basements, two of which have finished area. Two comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a garage ranging containing 400 or 552 square feet of building area. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 7,292 to 10,110 square feet of land area. The comparables sold from June 2014 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$237,500 to \$280,000 or from \$131.26 to \$146.97 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eleven suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #4, #5 and #6 along with the board of review comparable #1. These properties sold in 2013 and 2014, which are dated and less likely to be reflective of market value as of the subject's January 1, 2016 assessment date. In addition, appellant's comparables #1 through #4 were located in different neighborhood code than the subject and given reduced weight.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the remaining comparables submitted by the board of review. These four comparables were most similar to the subject in location, design, age, and most features. These properties sold from June 2015 to May 2016 for prices ranging from \$237,500 to \$270,000 or from \$131.26 to \$146.97 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$253,109 or \$118.61 per square foot of living area, including land, which is well supported by the best comparable sales contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant failed to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe-	R
Member	Member
asout Steffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:CERTIFICATION	 <u>O N</u>
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this	

Mano Illorios

July 16, 2019

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

AMH 2015 1 Borrower LLC, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Ryan Law LLP 311 South Wacker Drive Mailbox #29 Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187