



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Hanna Hany N
DOCKET NO.: 16-05981.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-35-410-048

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hanna Hany N, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$38,130
IMPR.: \$83,000
TOTAL: \$121,130

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,964 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1986. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 692 square foot garage.¹ The property has a 10,102² square foot site and is located in Woodridge, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

¹ The appellant provided limited descriptive data for the subject property which was drawn from evidence provided by the board of review.

² The parties differ slightly as to the size of the subject's site. The Board finds the discrepancy will not impact the Board's decision in this appeal.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation claim, the appellant submitted limited descriptive information for 16 comparable properties that were reported to be located from .03 to .47 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were reported to be two-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,606 to 2,953 square feet of above grade living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 1995 and have sites ranging in size from 9,016 to 16,157 square feet of land area. The appellant failed to disclose the comparables', exterior construction, foundation type and features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages. The comparables sold from July 2013 to September 2015 for prices ranging from \$150,000 to \$359,000 or from \$75.49 to \$180.87 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$121,130. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$363,863 or \$122.76 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on five comparable sales located within .26 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are described as two-story or part two-story and part one-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,280 to 3,043 square feet of living area. The comparables were built from 1978 to 1988. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, four comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 440 to 1,277 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from April 2015 to August 2015 for prices ranging from \$330,000 to \$385,000 or from \$126.52 to \$151.32 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review also submitted a grid analysis of the appellant's comparables provided by the Lisle Township Assessors office. In addition, the board of review argued appellant's comparables #9 and #13 sold in September and August 2015 for \$308,000 and \$225,000, respectively and submitted as supporting evidence PTAX-203 Real Estate Transfer Declarations for both sales. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the parties submitted 21 suggested comparable sales for consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2, #3, #4, #6, #8, #10 and #11 based on their dated sales that occurred from July 2013 to October 2014 which were less proximate in

time to the January 1, 2016 assessment date. The Board also gave less weight to appellant's comparables #1, #7 and #13 thru #16 along with board of review comparables #3, #4 and #5 due to their considerably smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables #5, #9 and #12 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2. The Board finds these five properties are most similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, and features. The comparables sold from January 2015 to June 2015 for prices ranging from \$285,000 to \$385,000 or from \$108.45 to \$136.66 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$363,863 or \$122.76 per square foot of living area, including land which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 18, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Hanna Hany, by attorney:
Michael R. Davies
Ryan Law LLP
311 South Wacker Drive
Mailbox #29
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review
DuPage Center
421 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187