

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Raymond Ruffer DOCKET NO.: 16-05650.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-11-232-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Raymond Ruffer, the appellant; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$51,630 **IMPR.:** \$53,870 **TOTAL:** \$105,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,158 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1951. Features of the home include central air conditioning and a 400-square foot garage. The property has a 5,875-square foot site and is located in Hinsdale, Downers Grove, DuPage County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted three assessment comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings¹ of frame or brick exterior construction that were built from 1954 to 1958. Two of the comparables have basements, one of which is partially finished, one has central air conditioning, and one has a fireplace. The comparables have garages that contain 308 or 440 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 1,092 to 1,440 square feet of living area and

¹ Some of the descriptive information was obtained from the evidence submitted by the board of review.

have improvement assessments ranging from \$40,340 to \$68,420 or from \$36.94 to \$47.51² per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$89,587.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$105,500. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$53,870 or \$46.52 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted three assessment comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or brick exterior construction that were built in 1948 or 1951. Two of the comparables have central air conditioning and one comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have garages that range in size from 294 to 440 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 1,056 to 1,193 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,690 to \$60,150 or from \$45.16 to \$51.85 per square foot of living area. The board of review submission included property record cards for the subject, its three comparables and the appellant's three comparables.

The board of review submission also included a map and a memo from the Downers Grove Township Assessor asserting that the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 received a 30% economic obsolescence due to their "close proximity to Route 83." Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant provided a printout from Redfin Real Estate Website and a map to refute the 30% economic obsolescence.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of six assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their larger dwelling size or dissimilar foundation when compared to the subject property. Additionally, the evidence disclosed that the appellant's comparables #2 and #3 received a 30% reduction in their assessed values due to location. The Board finds the board of review's comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and other features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$45.16 to \$51.85 per square foot

² The correct assessment amounts can be found on the board of review's grid analysis and the attached property record cards.

of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$46.52 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorioso	
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Solvet Stoffen	Dan De Kinie
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 16, 2018

Star Mulynn

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Raymond Ruffer 1936 Sunnyside Ave Westchester, IL 60154

COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187