

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: AMH 2015 2 & Borrower LLC

DOCKET NO.: 16-05550.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 19-19-430-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are AMH 2015 2 & Borrower LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies, of Ryan Law LLP in Chicago; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,843 IMPR.: \$21,640 TOTAL: \$30,483

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story condominium, Ellis model, end unit. The condominium is 21 years old and contains 1,389 square feet of living area. Features of the dwelling include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a 288 square foot garage. The subject is located in Lake in the Hills, Algonquin Township, McHenry County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a list of seven comparable sales.<sup>2</sup> The appellant's comparable properties include sales that occurred from February 2013 to October 2015 for prices ranging from \$61,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appellant's counsel provided limited information regarding the features of the subject property beyond its age and above-grade living area. Descriptive details concerning the subject were provided by the board of review with a property record card and are reflected in this decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The appellant used the subject as comparable #1.

to \$90,100. The appellant provided no substantive descriptive information about the comparables other than that the dwellings were built in 1995 or 1996 and contain either 1,306 or 1,389 square feet of above-grade living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$20,333 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$61,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$30,483. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$91,568 or \$65.92 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for McHenry County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review noted that all of the assessor-provided sales suggest the subject is under-assessed.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales each of which is located in the same Northstar Condo development as the subject property. The comparables consist of 2-story, Ellis or Sumner model condominiums, either end units or inside units. The condominiums range in age from 20 to 22 years old and contain either 1,306 or 1,389 square feet of living area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a garage and a fireplace. None of the comparables have basements. The comparables sold between February 2015 and September 2016 for prices ranging from \$117,000 to \$133,500 or from \$86.39 to \$96.11 per square foot of living area, including land.

While the board of review noted that the subject appeared to be under-valued, the board of review did not request a change in the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties provided a total of thirteen comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board finds that little weight shall be given to the appellant's evidence as it contained no substantive descriptive information about the dwellings to allow the Property Tax Appeal Board to conduct a meaningful comparative analysis of the comparable sales as compared to the subject property. Additionally, five of the appellant's comparables sold in 2013 and 2014, not as proximate in time to the assessment date as the sales provided by the board of review.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review comparable sales. These comparables are similar to the subject in location, age, design, exterior construction and several features. Despite being somewhat inferior to the subject in that they lack basements as compared to the subject's basement with finished area, and four are inside units as compared to

the subject's corner location, the comparables sold between February 2015 and September 2016 for prices ranging from \$117,000 to \$133,500 or from \$86.39 to \$96.11 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$91,568 or \$65.92 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a per-square-foot basis. As noted by the McHenry County Board of Review, based on the best market value evidence in the record, the subject property may be under-assessed.

On this record, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

said office.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
21. Fe	a R
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and compl Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above the complex of the co	ete Final Administrative Decision of the

Mano Illorios

February 18, 2020

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Date:

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

AMH 2015 2 & Borrower LLC, by attorney: Michael R. Davies Ryan Law LLP 311 South Wacker Drive Mailbox #29 Chicago, IL 60606

## **COUNTY**

McHenry County Board of Review McHenry County Government Center 2200 N. Seminary Ave. Woodstock, IL 60098