



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: AMH 2015 1 & Borrower LLC  
DOCKET NO.: 16-05380.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 19-30-227-057

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are AMH 2015 1 & Borrower LLC, the appellant, by attorney Michael R. Davies of the Law Offices of Michael R. Davies, Ltd. in Oak Lawn; and the McHenry County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **McHenry** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,105  
**IMPR.:** \$49,385  
**TOTAL:** \$65,490

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the McHenry County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,974 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1995. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 430 square foot garage. The property has a .165 of an acre site and is located in Big Sky subdivision, Algonquin, Algonquin Township, McHenry County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation claim, the appellant submitted limited descriptive information for 15 comparable properties that were reported to be located from .08 to .39 of a mile from the subject property. However comparable #1 is the subject property. The remaining 14 comparables were reported to consist of one-story or two-story dwellings ranging in size from 1,676 to 2,208 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1993 to

2006 and have sites ranging in size from 1,710 to 19,200 square feet of land area. The Board requested that the appellant complete the grid analysis in Section V of the appeal petition. The appellant failed to comply with the Board's request and failed to disclose the comparables' exterior construction, foundation type and features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages. The comparables sold from December 2012 to May 2015 for prices ranging from \$53,000 to \$193,000 or from \$24.00 to \$100.33 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$65,490. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$196,726 or \$99.66 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for McHenry County of 33.29% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on six comparable sales located in the same Big Sky subdivision as the subject. The comparables are two-story dwellings of frame or brick and frame exterior construction built from 1993 to 1995. The dwellings range in size from 1,749 to 2,078 square feet of living area and are situated on sites containing from .169 to .277 of an acre of land area. Each comparable has a basement, three of which have finished area and central air conditioning. Additionally, two comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a garage ranging in size from 430 to 477 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from July 2015 to April 2016 for prices ranging from \$196,000 to \$250,000 or from \$99.29 to \$142.94 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review asserts that these six comparables suggest the subject is under assessed. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains 20 comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant since the appellant's attorney failed to provide any specifics regarding the comparables' exterior construction, foundation type and features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages for a comparative analysis, which detracts from the weight of the evidence. Additionally, 13 of the comparables sold from 2012 to 2014, which are dated and less reliable indicators of market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #1, #2 and #6 due to their dissimilar finished basements when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining three comparables submitted by the board of review are most similar when compared to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, dwelling size and most features. They sold from October 2015 to March 2016 for prices ranging from \$196,000 to

\$225,000 or from \$99.29 to \$108.28 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$196,726 or \$99.66 per square foot of living area including land, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2019



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

AMH 2015 1 & Borrower LLC, by attorney:  
Michael R. Davies  
Ryan Law LLP  
311 South Wacker Drive  
Mailbox #29  
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

McHenry County Board of Review  
McHenry County Government Center  
2200 N. Seminary Ave.  
Woodstock, IL 60098