

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anthony & Emily Matz

DOCKET NO.: 16-05136.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-20-202-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anthony & Emily Matz, the appellants, by Michael Griffin, Attorney at Law, in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 98,793 **IMPR.:** \$ 99,773 **TOTAL:** \$198,566

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level style dwelling of brick exterior construction that has 2,109 square feet of above grade living area. The dwelling was built in 1975. Features include a full finished lower level, an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 650 square foot garage. The subject property is located in West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of the inequity claim, the appellants submitted a grid analysis of four assessment comparables located within .24 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of one-story brick dwellings that were built from 1966 to 1976. Three comparables have unfinished basements and one comparable has a partial finished basement. Other features include central air conditioning, one fireplace and garages that range in size from

506 to 552 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 2,988 to 3,268 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,339 to \$125,348 or from \$36.02 to \$40.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$198,566. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$99,773 or \$47.31 per square foot of above grade living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four assessment comparables located within .932 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of tri-level or split-level style dwellings of brick construction that were built from 1966 to 1984. Features include full or partially finished lower levels, central air conditioning, and garages that range in size from 449 to 700 square feet of building area. Three comparables have a fireplace. The dwellings range in size from 1,860 to 2,297 square feet of above grade living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$102,796 to \$128,479 or from \$47.36 to \$61.76 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof.

The record contains eight assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellants due to their dissimilar design and larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. In addition, appellants' comparable #1 is older in age than the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparables #3 and #4 submitted by the board of review due to their dissimilar age. In addition, board of review comparable #4 is smaller in dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining two comparables submitted by the board of review are most similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features, but each comparable lacks the additional unfinished basement feature enjoyed by the subject. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$103,851 and \$128,479 or \$47.36 and \$55.93 per square foot of above grade living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$99,773 or \$47.31 per square foot of above grade living area, which is less than the two most similar assessment comparables contained in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the

General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	her	
Chai	rman	
	Robert Stoffen	
Member	Member	
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Boldey	
Member	Member	
DISSENTING:		
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof. I do		

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 26, 2020	
	Mauro Illorias	
_	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Anthony & Emily Matz, by attorney: Michael Griffin Attorney at Law PO Box 101082 Chicago, IL 60610

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085