



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Carmen Zambrano
DOCKET NO.: 16-04702.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-20-308-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Carmen Zambrano, the appellant; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$9,927
IMPR.: \$20,386
TOTAL: \$30,313

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 2,160 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1901. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a 400 square foot garage. The property has a 9,471 square foot site and is located in Waukegan, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located from .41 to .96 of mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of brick or frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,268 to 2,304 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1910 or 1930. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and one comparable has central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from

\$13,432 to \$16,610 or from \$5.83 to \$7.32 per square foot of living area.¹ Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$30,313. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$20,386 or \$9.44 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on eight equity comparables located from .296 to .495 of a mile from the subject. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 1,866 to 2,352 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1901 to 1930. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and six of the comparables have a garage ranging in size from 264 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,945 to \$25,690 or from \$8.99 to \$11.67 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted 11 suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its superior central air conditioning feature. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparable #1 due to its smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject, along with comparables #4 and #8 due to their lack of a garage unlike the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2, along with board of review comparables #2, #3, #5, #6 and #7. These seven comparables are similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and features when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$13,432 to \$25,960 or from \$5.83 to \$11.12 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$20,386 or \$9.44 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the evidence demonstrates the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

¹ The appellant's grid analysis was void of improvement assessments for each comparable.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman



Member

Member



Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 23, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Carmen Zambrano
2707 21st Street
Zion, IL 60099

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085