



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jeffrey Wener
DOCKET NO.: 16-04668.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-13-403-008

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jeffrey Wener, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$90,616
IMPR.: \$226,086
TOTAL: \$316,702

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 4,751 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, a 672 square foot garage and a 595 square foot in-ground swimming pool. The property has a 61,841 square foot site and is located in Long Grove, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within .16 of mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction ranging in size from 4,997 to 5,181 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1993 to 2001. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two or three

fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 789 to 1,126 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$161,705 to \$225,911 or from \$32.36 to \$43.66 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's building assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$316,702. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$226,086 or \$47.59 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within .198 of a mile from the subject. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction ranging in size from 4,111 to 4,874 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1993 to 1997. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two to five fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 800 to 985 square feet of building area. One comparable has a 600 square foot in-ground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$193,276 to \$233,343 or from \$46.40 to \$48.03 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparable #1 due to its smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the appellant, along with board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4. These six comparables are similar in location, dwelling size, design, age and some features when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$161,705 to \$233,343 or from \$32.36 to \$48.03 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$226,086 or \$47.59 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the evidence demonstrates the subject's improvement assessment is justified. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence presented.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 19, 2019



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Jeffrey Wener, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085