



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Martha Zaragoza
DOCKET NO.: 16-04455.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 08-17-106-095

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Martha Zaragoza, the appellant; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$8,204
IMPR.: \$21,587
TOTAL: \$29,791

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2016 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of wood siding exterior construction with 1,379 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1978. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 504 square foot garage. The property has a 7,828 square foot site and is located in Waukegan, Waukegan Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located between .22 of a mile and 3.68 miles from the subject property, one of which is located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as assigned by the township assessor. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 5,247 to 15,522 square feet of land area. The comparables were improved with one-story dwellings of brick, aluminum or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,160 to 1,716 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1954 to 1967. Each comparable

has a full unfinished basement; one comparable has central air conditioning and a fireplace; and five comparables each have a garage ranging in size from 315 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from December 2015 to December 2016 for prices ranging from \$35,000 to \$61,500 or from \$22.71 to \$42.72 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$29,791. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$89,840 or \$65.15 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2016 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.16% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .53 of a mile of the subject, one of which is located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as assigned by the township assessor. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 6,746 to 17,522 square feet of land area. The comparables were improved with one-story dwellings of wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 1,180 to 1,500 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 1986. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement; two comparables have central air conditioning; and two comparables each have a garage containing either 448 or 484 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from July 2015 to April 2017 for prices ranging from \$116,000 to \$157,500 or from \$77.33 to \$117.19 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant disagreed with the comparable sales evidence submitted by the board of review and submitted a grid analysis containing 12 comparable properties, three of which are the same property and eight of the comparables were not previously submitted as evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As an initial matter regarding the appellant's rebuttal evidence, the board finds the rebuttal evidence contained new comparable properties not previously submitted by the appellant. Pursuant to the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board, rebuttal evidence is restricted to that evidence to explain, repel, counteract or disprove facts given in evidence by an adverse party. (86 Ill. Admin. Code, Sec. 1910.66(a)). Moreover, rebuttal evidence shall not consist of new evidence such as an appraisal or **newly discovered comparable properties**. (86 Ill. Admin. Code, Sec. 1910.66(c)). In light of these rules, the Property Tax Appeal Board shall not consider the eight additional comparables submitted by the appellant in conjunction with their rebuttal argument.

The parties submitted ten comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to six comparables submitted by the appellant for their dissimilar dwelling ages when compared to the subject. Furthermore, comparable #1 has a larger land area when compared to the subject and comparables #2, #3, #4 and #6 are too distant in location from the subject being over 2.75 miles away. The Board finds board of review comparable #1 sold in April 2017 which is less proximate in time to the lien date at issue and less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2016 assessment date. The Board also gave reduced weight to board of review comparable #3 due to its larger land area when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be comparable sales #2 and #4 submitted by the board of review. These two comparables sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue and were similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design, age and features. These comparables sold in November 2015 and June 2016 for prices of \$116,000 and \$140,000 or for \$77.33 and \$113.64 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$89,840 or \$65.15 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman





Member

Member





Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 21, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Martha Zaragoza
1413 N McAree Rd
Waukegan, IL 60085-1428

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review
Lake County Courthouse
18 North County Street, 7th Floor
Waukegan, IL 60085